

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

TAXATION DIVISION

COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS CASE LIST AND SUMMARY OF ISSUES

January, 2002

Table of Contents

Γable of Ca	ases	X
Franchise T	Гах	1
	3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	1
	American General Corp. v. Rylander, et al	
	Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland	
	Funeral Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home	
	of Fort Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; and Blue Bonnet	
	Hills Memorial Park, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	2
	Bank of Texas, National Association (Formerly Swiss Avenue State Bank) v.	
	Comptroller of Public Accounts	2
	Beef Products, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
	Central Telephone Co. of Texas and United Telephone Co. of Texas v.	
	Rylander, et al	3
	Chevron Chemical Co. v. Rylander, et al	
	Dana Corp. v. Sharp, et al	
	Delco Electronics Corp. v. Sharp, et al	
	Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Legal & Professional, HBJ Farm Publications,	
	Psychological Corp., Drake Beam Morin, Inc. and Holt Rinehart & Winston,	
	Inc. v. Sharp, et al	5
	Holt Rinehart & Winston, Inc., Drake Beam Morin, Inc., Harcourt Professional	
	Education Group, Inc., The Psychological Corp. v. Rylander, et al	5
	House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
	House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
	Kerrville Telephone Co., The v. Rylander, et al	
	May Department Stores Co., The v. Sharp, et al	
	Network Security Acceptance Corp., as Successor in Interest to Network	
	Security Corp. v. Sharp, et al	7
	North Star Steel Texas, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
	Palais Royal, Inc. and 3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
	Pfizer, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
	Randall's Food & Drugs, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	
	Reliant Energy Corp. (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al	0
	Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Co., f/k/a Noram Gas Transmission Co. v. Rylander	
	et al	
	Richland Development Corp. v. Comptroller, et al	
	Saudi Refining, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
	Schlumberger Technology Corp. v. Rylander, et al	

	Sergeant Enterprises, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	. 12
	Shaklee Corp. d/b/a Shaklee U.S., Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 12
	Southern Union Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 12
	Specialty Retailers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 13
	Specialty Retailers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 13
	Specialty Retailers, Inc. and 3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 14
	Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 14
	Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 14
	Union Pacific Resources Co. v. Sharp, et al	. 15
	Universal Frozen Foods Co., its Successors-in-Interest, Conagra, Inc. and	
	Lamb Weston, Inc., and Universal Foods Corp. v. Sharp, et al	. 15
	U.S. Home Corp. v. Rylander, et al	. 16
	Westcott Communications, Inc., Law Enforcement Television Network, Inc., Westc	ott
	ECI, Inc. and TI-IN Acquisition Corp. v. Sharp, et al	. 16
	Wheelabrator Corp., The and Swindell Dressler Leasing Co. v. Sharp, et al	. 17
	Xerox Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al	. 17
Sales Tax.		19
	Advanta Business Services Corp. v. Rylander, et al	. 19
	Alexopolous, Dimitrios P. v. Rylander, et al	
	Alpine Industries, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
	American Oil Change Corp. v. Rylander, et al	. 20
	American Standard, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
	American Telephone & Telegraph Co. v. Sharp, et al	. 21
	Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	
	Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 22
	Baldry, Ann d/b/a Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al	
	Bedrock General Contractors v. Rylander, et al	
	Bell Bottom Foundation Co. v. Rylander, et al	
	BHC Co. v. Sharp, et al	. 23
	B.I. Moyle Associates, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 24
	Big Tex Air Conditioning, Inc. v. Bullock, et al	. 24
	Border Steel Rolling Mills, Inc. and Border Steel, Inc., as Successor in	
	Interest to Border Steel Rollings Mills, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 25
	Brighton Builders, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 25
	Briscoe, Billy R. v. Rylander, et al.	. 25
	Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 26
	Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 26
	C & T Stone Co. v. Rylander, et al.	
	Cafeteria Operators, L.P. v. Rylander, et al	. 27
	Central Power & Light Co. v. Sharp, et al	
	Choi, Sung Ju d/b/a Sam Young Trading Co. v. Sharp	. 28

Church & Dwight Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 29
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 29
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 29
Coastal Refining & Marketing, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
Colt, Mach V., Trustee of the Harry T. Lloyd Charitable Trust, successor in interest	to
House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 30
D&D Recycling, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 31
Denmon's H2 Safety Services, Inc. v. Sharp	. 31
E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 32
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 32
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 32
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 33
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 33
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 34
FXI Corp. v. Rylander, et al	. 34
F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al	. 34
Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al	. 35
Galleria Limited v. Rylander, et al	. 35
Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al	. 36
Gateway Homes, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 36
GATX Terminals Corp. v. Sharp, et al	. 36
GATX Terminals Corp. v. Sharp, et al	. 37
Gift Box Corp. of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	. 37
Graybar Electric Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 38
H.J. Wilson Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	. 38
Heritage Numismatic Auctions, Inc. and Heritage Capital Corp. v. Rylander,	
et al.	. 38
Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 39
Hines Interests Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al	. 39
Holzem, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Interpak Terminals, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 41
Jerman Cookie Co. v. Rylander, et al.	. 41
Jett Racing and Sales, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 41
John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Co., The v. Rylander, et al	. 42
L. D. Brinkman & Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 42
LabOne, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 43
Lake Charles Yamaha, Inc. v. Morales, et al	. 43
Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corp. v. Sharp, et al	. 43
Lebaron Hotel Corp., d/b/a The Lebaron Hotel v. Sharp, et al. $\dots \dots \dots$. 44
Lee Construction and Maintenance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 44

Leyendecker Construction, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	45
Local Neon Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al	45
Lockheed Martin Corp., as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems	
Corp. and Loral Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al	46
Medaphis Physicians Services Corp. v. Sharp, et al	46
Melek Corp. v. Rylander	47
Melek Corp. v. Rylander	47
National Business Furniture, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	47
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	48
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al	48
North American Intelecom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al	49
North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	49
Norwood Homes, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	50
Painter, Lisa G. v. Rylander, et al	50
Paragon Communications v. Sharp, et al	50
Perry Homes, A Joint Venture v. Sharp, et al	51
Peter Piper, Inc. and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Sharp, et al	51
Petrolite Corp. v. Sharp, et al	52
Pflugerville, City of v. Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority and	
Carole Keeton Rylander	. 52
Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 52
Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	53
Prodigy Services Co. v. Rylander, et al	53
R Communications, Inc. f/k/a RN Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	54
RAI Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al	54
Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 55
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 55
Sam Houston Race Park, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al	56
Sanchez, Hector and Sidney Fernald, et al. v. Southwestern Bell Telephone	
Co	56
Schmitz Industries, Inc. v. Sharp	56
Schoenborn & Doll Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 57
Sears Roebuck & Co. v. Rylander, et al.	
Service Merchandise Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	. 58
Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 58
Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest	
Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al	. 58
Southwest Pay Telephone Corp., Successor in Interest to Southwest Pay Telephone	
Systems, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	. 59
Spaw-Glass, Inc. and Spaw Glass Construction Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 59
Sprint International Communications Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	60

	Summit Photographix, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 60
	Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (f/k/a Sysco Food Service of Houston,	
	Inc.) v. Rylander, et al	
	TCCT Real Estate, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	
	TCCT Real Estate, Inc. as Successor to TCC Austin Industrial Overhead v. Rylando	er,
	et al.	. 62
	TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	. 62
	Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corp. of America; Texas Telecable,	
	Inc.; TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas,	
	Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	. 63
	Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co. v. Sharp, et al.	. 63
	Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.	. 64
	Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
	Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 64
	Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corp. v. Rylander, et al	65
	Union Carbide Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	66
	Unit 82 Joint Venture v. Rylander, et al	66
	United Services Automobile Association v. Sharp, et al	
	United Services Automobile Association & USAA Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et	et
	al	. 67
	U.S. On-Line Cable v. Rylander, et al	. 67
	USA Waste Services of Houston, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	. 68
	Waller Hotel Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
	West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al	
	Westar Hotels, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	
Insurance T	axax	71
	All American Life Insurance Co., et al. v. Rylander, et al	. 71
	All American Life Insurance Co. v. Sharp, et al	. 71
	Allianz Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 72
	Allmerica Financial Life Insurance Co. and Annuity Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 72
	American Bankers Insurance Co. of Florida, et al. v. Ann Richards, et al	. 73
	American General Life Insurance Co., American National Life Insurance Co.,	
	and American National Insurance Co. v. Sharp, et al	. 73
	American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 74
	Dow Chemical Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 74
	Dow Chemical Co., The v. Rylander, et al	. 75
	Federal Home Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 75
	Fireman's Fund Insurance Co. of Ohio v. Rylander, et al	. 75
	First Colony Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	. 76
	GE Life and Annuity Assurance Co., f/k/a Life Insurance Co. of Virginia v. Rylander	:, et
	al	. 76

	General Electric Capital Assurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 77
	Great Northern Insured Annuity Corp. v. Rylander, et al	. 77
	Harvest Life Insurance Co., The v. Rylander, et al	. 77
	Heritage Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 78
	IDS Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 78
	Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 79
	Liberty National Life Insurance Co. v. Martha Whitehead, et al	. 79
	Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al	. 79
	Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al	. 80
	Philadelphia Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 80
	Principal Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 81
	Security National Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 81
	Southwestern Life Insurance Co. v. Sharp, et al	. 81
	Southwestern Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 82
	St. Paul Surplus Lines Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 82
	State Farm Life Insurance Co. v. Cornyn, et al	. 83
	Texas Workers' Compensation Insurance Facility v. Comptroller	. 83
	Texas Workers' Compensation Insurance Facility v. Comptroller, et al	. 84
	Union Fidelity Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 84
	Union Standard Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 84
	United American Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 85
	Universe Life Insurance Co. v. State of Texas	. 85
	Universe Life Insurance Co., The v. Cornyn, et al	. 86
	Warranty Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 86
Other Taxes		89
	Buffalo ISD v. Comptroller	. 89
	Caldwell, Marcie v. Rylander	. 89
	Castleberry ISD; Ennis ISD; Canyon ISD; La Porte ISD v. Comptroller	. 90
	Centerville ISD v. Comptroller	. 90
	Chevron USA, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 90
	Chrysler Financial Co., L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.	. 91
	Cockrill, Charles T. v. Comptroller of Public Accounts, et al	. 91
	Dekalb ISD v. Comptroller	. 92
	DeSoto ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts	. 92
	Deweyville ISD v. Rylander	. 92
	El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Sharp	
	Fort Davis ISD v. Comptroller	. 93
	Gainesville ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts	
	Hernandez, Juan Luis v. Rylander, et al	. 94
	MFC Finance Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al	
	MFN Financial Corp. v. Rylander, et al	. 95

	Marathon Oil Co. v. Rylander, et al	95
	McLane Co., Inc. and McLane Foodservice-Lubbock, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	96
	Mineola ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts	96
	New Boston ISD v. Comptroller	96
	New Crew Quarters 2, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	97
	Oakwood ISD v. Comptroller	97
	P.W. Jones Oil Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al	98
	Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al	98
	Shelton, James M., Estate of, Deceased, and Carroll A. Maxon, Independent	
	Co-Executor v. Rylander, et al	98
	Travis Co., et al. v. Lot 1, Baker Dale Addn	99
	Uvalde ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts	99
	Valentine ISD v. Comptroller	100
	West Orange-Cove CISD, Coppell ISD, La Porte ISD, Port Neches-Groves	
	ISD v. Rylander, et al	100
Index		. 101

Table of Cases

3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	1
Advanta Business Services Corp. v. Rylander, et al	19
Alexopolous, Dimitrios P. v. Rylander, et al	19
All American Life Insurance Co., et al. v. Rylander, et al	71
All American Life Insurance Co. v. Sharp, et al	71
Allianz Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	72
Allmerica Financial Life Insurance Co. and Annuity Co. v. Rylander, et al	72
Alpine Industries, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	20
American Bankers Insurance Co. of Florida, et al. v. Ann Richards, et al	73
American General Corp. v. Rylander, et al	1
American General Life Insurance Co., American National Life Insurance Co., and American National	nal
Insurance Co. v. Sharp, et al	73
American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	74
American Oil Change Corp. v. Rylander, et al	20
American Standard, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	21
American Telephone & Telegraph Co. v. Sharp, et al	21
Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral	
Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort Worth, Inc.; I	3lue
Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; and Blue Bonnet Hills Memorial Park,	
Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	2
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	21
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	22
Baldry, Ann d/b/a Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al	22
Bank of Texas, National Association (Formerly Swiss Avenue State Bank) v. Comptroller	
of Public Accounts	
Bedrock General Contractors v. Rylander, et al	23
Beef Products, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	3
Bell Bottom Foundation Co. v. Rylander, et al	23
BHC Co. v. Sharp, et al.	23
B.I. Moyle Associates, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	24
Big Tex Air Conditioning, Inc. v. Bullock, et al	24
Border Steel Rolling Mills, Inc. and Border Steel, Inc., as Successor in Interest to Border	
Steel Rollings Mills, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	
Brighton Builders, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	25
Briscoe, Billy R. v. Rylander, et al.	
Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	26
Buffalo ISD v. Comptroller	89

C & T Stone Co. v. Rylander, et al	27
Cafeteria Operators, L.P. v. Rylander, et al	27
Caldwell, Marcie v. Rylander	89
Castleberry ISD; Ennis ISD; Canyon ISD; La Porte ISD v. Comptroller	90
Centerville ISD v. Comptroller	90
Central Power & Light Co. v. Sharp, et al	28
Central Telephone Co. of Texas and United Telephone Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al	3
Chevron Chemical Co. v. Rylander, et al	3
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	90
Choi, Sung Ju d/b/a Sam Young Trading Co. v. Sharp	28
Chrysler Financial Co., L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al.	91
Church & Dwight Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	29
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	29
Coastal Refining & Marketing, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	30
Cockrill, Charles T. v. Comptroller of Public Accounts, et al	91
Colt, Mach V., Trustee of the Harry T. Lloyd Charitable Trust, successor in interest to	
House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	30
D&D Recycling, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	31
Dana Corp. v. Sharp, et al	4
Dekalb ISD v. Comptroller	92
Delco Electronics Corp. v. Sharp, et al	4
Denmon's H2 Safety Services, Inc. v. Sharp	31
DeSoto ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts	92
Deweyville ISD v. Rylander	92
Dow Chemical Co. v. Rylander, et al	74
Dow Chemical Co., The v. Rylander, et al	75
E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	32
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Rylander, et al	32
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Rylander, et al	32
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Sharp	93
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	33
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	33
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	34
FXI Corp. v. Rylander, et al	34
F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al	34
Federal Home Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	75
Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al	
Fireman's Fund Insurance Co. of Ohio v. Rylander, et al	75
First Colony Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	
Fort Davis ISD v. Comptroller	93

GE Life and Annuity Assurance Co., f/k/a Life Insurance Co. of Virginia v. Rylander, et al	76
Gainesville ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts	94
Galleria Limited v. Rylander, et al	35
Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al	36
Gateway Homes, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	36
GATX Terminals Corp. v. Sharp, et al	36
GATX Terminals Corp. v. Sharp, et al	37
General Electric Capital Assurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	77
Gift Box Corp. of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	37
Graybar Electric Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al	38
Great Northern Insured Annuity Corp. v. Rylander, et al	77
H.J. Wilson Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	38
Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Legal & Professional, HBJ Farm Publications, Psychological	
Corp., Drake Beam Morin, Inc. and Holt Rinehart & Winston, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	5
Harvest Life Insurance Co., The v. Rylander, et al	77
Heritage Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	78
Heritage Numismatic Auctions, Inc. and Heritage Capital Corp. v. Rylander, et al	38
Hernandez, Juan Luis v. Rylander, et al	94
Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	39
Hines Interests Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al	39
Holt Rinehart & Winston, Inc., Drake Beam Morin, Inc., Harcourt Professional Education Group	, Inc.,
The Psychological Corp. v. Rylander, et al	5
Holzem, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	40
House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	40
House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	5
House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	6
IDS Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	78
Interpak Terminals, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	41
Jerman Cookie Co. v. Rylander, et al	41
Jett Racing and Sales, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Co., The v. Rylander, et al	42
Kerrville Telephone Co., The v. Rylander, et al	7
L. D. Brinkman & Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al	42
LabOne, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	43
Lake Charles Yamaha, Inc. v. Morales, et al	43
Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corp. v. Sharp, et al	43
Lebaron Hotel Corp., d/b/a The Lebaron Hotel v. Sharp, et al	44
Lee Construction and Maintenance Co. v. Rylander, et al	44
Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	79
Leyendecker Construction, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
Liberty National Life Insurance Co. v. Martha Whitehead, et al	79

Local Neon Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 45
Lockheed Martin Corp., as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. and Loral Vougi	ht
Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al	. 46
MFC Finance Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al	. 94
MFN Financial Corp. v. Rylander, et al	. 95
Marathon Oil Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 95
May Department Stores Co., The v. Sharp, et al	7
McLane Co., Inc. and McLane Foodservice-Lubbock, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 96
Medaphis Physicians Services Corp. v. Sharp, et al	. 46
Melek Corp. v. Rylander	. 47
Melek Corp. v. Rylander	. 47
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al	. 79
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al	. 80
Mineola ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts	. 96
National Business Furniture, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 47
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 48
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al	. 48
Network Security Acceptance Corp., as Successor in Interest to Network Security Corp.	
v. Sharp, et al	7
New Boston ISD v. Comptroller	. 96
New Crew Quarters 2, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	. 97
North American Intelecom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al	. 49
North Star Steel Texas, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	8
North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 49
Norwood Homes, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 50
Oakwood ISD v. Comptroller	. 97
P.W. Jones Oil Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 98
Painter, Lisa G. v. Rylander, et al	
Palais Royal, Inc. and 3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
Paragon Communications v. Sharp, et al	. 50
Perry Homes, A Joint Venture v. Sharp, et al	. 51
Peter Piper, Inc. and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Sharp, et al	. 51
Petrolite Corp. v. Sharp, et al	. 52
Pfizer, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	9
Pflugerville, City of v. Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority and Carole Keeton Rylander .	. 52
Philadelphia Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 80
Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	. 52
Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	. 53
Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al	. 98
Principal Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 81
Prodigy Services Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 53

R Communications, Inc. f/k/a RN Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	54
RAI Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al	
Randall's Food & Drugs, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	ç
Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Reliant Energy Corp. (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al	1
Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Co., f/k/a Noram Gas Transmission Co. v. Rylander, et al	
Richland Development Corp. v. Comptroller, et al	1
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Sam Houston Race Park, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al	56
Sanchez, Hector and Sidney Fernald, et al. v. Southwestern Bell Telephone Co	
Saudi Refining, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	11
Schlumberger Technology Corp. v. Rylander, et al	11
Schmitz Industries, Inc. v. Sharp	56
Schoenborn & Doll Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	57
Sears Roebuck & Co. v. Rylander, et al	57
Security National Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	31
Sergeant Enterprises, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	12
Service Merchandise Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
Shaklee Corp. d/b/a Shaklee U.S., Inc. v. Sharp, et al	12
Shelton, James M., Estate of, Deceased, and Carroll A. Maxon, Independent Co-Executor v.	
Rylander, et al	36
Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	58
Southern Union Co. v. Rylander, et al	
Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated	
	58
Southwest Pay Telephone Corp., Successor in Interest to Southwest Pay Telephone	
Systems, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	59
Southwestern Life Insurance Co. v. Sharp, et al	
Southwestern Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	32
Spaw-Glass, Inc. and Spaw Glass Construction Co. v. Rylander, et al	
Specialty Retailers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Specialty Retailers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	13
Specialty Retailers, Inc. and 3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	12
Sprint International Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
St. Paul Surplus Lines Co. v. Rylander, et al	
State Farm Life Insurance Co. v. Cornyn, et al	
Summit Photographix, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (f/k/a Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v.	
Rylander, et al	51
TCCT Real Estate, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
TCCT Real Estate, Inc. as Successor to TCC Austin Industrial Overhead v. Rylander, et al	

TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	62
Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corp. of America; Texas Telecable, Inc.; TCA	
Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	63
Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co. v. Sharp, et al.	63
Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al	14
Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al	64
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	64
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	64
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	14
Texas Workers' Compensation Insurance Facility v. Comptroller	83
Texas Workers' Compensation Insurance Facility v. Comptroller, et al	
Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corp. v. Rylander, et al	65
Travis Co., et al. v. Lot 1, Baker Dale Addn	99
Union Carbide Corp. v. Rylander, et al	66
Union Fidelity Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	84
Union Pacific Resources Co. v. Sharp, et al	15
Union Standard Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	84
Unit 82 Joint Venture v. Rylander, et al	66
United American Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.	85
United Services Automobile Association v. Sharp, et al	66
United Services Automobile Association & USAA Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	67
Universal Frozen Foods Co., its Successors-in-Interest, Conagra, Inc. and Lamb Weston,	
Inc., and Universal Foods Corp. v. Sharp, et al	15
Universe Life Insurance Co. v. State of Texas	85
Universe Life Insurance Co., The v. Cornyn, et al.	86
USA Waste Services of Houston, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	68
U.S. Home Corp. v. Rylander, et al	16
U.S. On-Line Cable v. Rylander, et al	67
Uvalde ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts	99
Valentine ISD v. Comptroller	. 100
Waller Hotel Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	68
Warranty Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	86
West Orange-Cove CISD, Coppell ISD, La Porte ISD, Port Neches-Groves ISD v.	
Rylander, et al	. 100
West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al	68
Westar Hotels, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	69
Westcott Communications, Inc., Law Enforcement Television Network, Inc., Westcott	
ECI, Inc. and TI-IN Acquisition Corp. v. Sharp, et al.	16
Wheelabrator Corp., The and Swindell Dressler Leasing Co. v. Sharp, et al	17
Xerox Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al.	17

Franchise Tax

3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002755

AG Case #001354026

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 09/15/00

Period: 1993 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman
Amount: \$265.995 Ray Langenberg

Ray Langenberg
Scott Douglass &

Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether the franchise tax was applied retroactively to deny Plaintiff a business loss carry forward. Whether the officer and director compensation add-back is unconstitutional.

Status: Answer filed.

American General Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003178

AG Case #001375419

Franchise Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 10/31/00

Period: 1994-1998 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$2,131,754.78 Ray Langenberg

Ray Langenberg Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether intercorporate receipts should be excluded from gross receipts. Whether certain obligations were debts. Whether the Comptroller's application of the debt deduction statute violates equal protection. Whether an indirect tax on post-retirement benefits violates ERISA and the supremacy doctrine. Whether interest should be waived. Whether the assessment violates equal taxation, equal protection, due process, commerce clause, the Tax Code, the Administrative Code, was in excess of statutory authority, was made through unlawful procedure, and was arbitrary and capricious.

Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; and Blue Bonnet Hills Memorial Park, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-12183

AG Case #99-1227646

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 10/18/99

Period: 1993-1996 Plaintiff's Counsel: Michael Rubenstein
Amount: \$407,212.91 Locke, Liddell & Sapp

\$107,861.97 Houston

Issue: Whether income earned on Plaintiff's trust accounts for prepaid funeral services gives rise to

Texas gross receipts.

Status: Motion to dismiss set by court for 08/22/01. Plaintiff filed motion to retain 08/07/01. Discovery

in progress.

Bank of Texas, National Association (Formerly Swiss Avenue State Bank) v. Comptroller of Public Accounts Cause #GN103976

AG Case #01535283

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 12/03/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: J. Lawrence Temple
Period: 2001 Temple & Temple

Amount: \$218,056,52 Austin

Frederic Dorwart Tulsa, Oklahoma

Issue: Whether conversion from a state bank to a national bank is a merger for franchise tax purposes. Whether the national bank must file an initial return. Whether treatment of the conversion as a merger is preempted by federal law.

Beef Products, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-01193

AG Case #99-1112061

Franchise Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 02/01/99

Period: 1992 and 1993 Plaintiff's Counsel: Tom Tourtellotte

Amount: \$331,040.60 Tourtellotte & Kennon

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly applied the throw-back rule to apportion gross receipts under the pre-amended statute. Whether the throw-back rule violates the commerce clause. Whether the rule as applied is unconstitutionally retroactive and violates due process.

Status: Agreed Judgment to be entered per *Comptroller v. Fisher Controls International, Inc.*

Central Telephone Co. of Texas and United Telephone Co. of Texas v. Rylander,

et al. Cause #GN100332 AG Case #011409646

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Refund

Filed: 02/01/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 1988-1994 Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass &

\$204,616.25 McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether inclusion of access charges in Texas' gross receipts violates Comptroller rules on franchise tax treatment of interstate telephone receipts. Whether inclusion of the charges violates equal protection.

Status: Answer filed.

Chevron Chemical Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100963

AG Case #011431293

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 03/30/01

Period: 1987-1993 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Amount: \$ Ray Langenberg

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin Issue: Whether inclusion of unfunded post-retirement benefits (OPEBs) in franchise tax surplus violates ERISA. Whether Comptroller violated equal protection by allowing some to deduct OPEBs. Whether OPEBs are debt and whether their treatment in Section 171.109 is discriminatory.

Status: Agreed take-nothing judgment.

Dana Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-03598

AG Case #96-494234

Franchise Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 3/28/96

Period: 1988-1991 Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling Amount: \$804,971 Sheryl S. Scovell

Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether certain reserve accounts, including post-retirement benefits, are debt for franchise tax purposes. Whether Tax Code §171.109 (j)(1) is preempted by ERISA.

Status: Answer filed.

Delco Electronics Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-12045

AG Case #97-843052

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 10/22/97

Period: 1992-1995 Plaintiff's Counsel: L.G. Skip Smith

Amount: \$536,478 Clark, Thomas & Winters

Austin

Issue: Whether interest, rental and royalty income earned by Plaintiff should not be included in income because it was derived from discrete business enterprises that served an investment, rather than an operational function, and the activities producing the income were not part of the unitary business conducted by Plaintiff in Texas.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Legal & Professional, HBJ Farm Publications, Psychological Corp., Drake Beam Morin, Inc. and Holt Rinehart & Winston, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-03795

AG Case #97-706290

Franchise Tax; Protest and Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/28/97 Plaintiff's Counsel: Jess M. Irwin, III
Period: 1987-1990 Steven D. Moore
1989-1991 Jackson & Walker

1988-1991 Austin

Amount: \$243,469 (total of

all)

Issue: Whether intercompany payable account obligations should have been excluded from debt for purposes of calculating franchise tax. Attorneys fees.

Status: Evaluating plaintiff's settlement offer.

Holt Rinehart & Winston, Inc., Drake Beam Morin, Inc., Harcourt Professional Education Group, Inc., The Psychological Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause

#GN100985

AG Case #011433455

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 04/03/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Steven D. Moore Period: 1992-1994 Jackson Walker LLP

Amount: \$512,387.46 Austin

Issue: Whether intercompany payable account obligations should have been excluded from debt for purposes of calculating franchise tax. Attorneys fees.

House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #95-06985

AG Case #95-300365

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 06/05/95

Period: 1989-1991 Plaintiff's Counsel: Fred O. Marcus

Amount: \$19,825 Horwood, Marcus & Braun

Chicago

David E. Cowling

Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether the Texas franchise tax is a tax imposed on or measured by net income for purposes of Public Law 86-272; if so, Plaintiff contends that it is not subject to the Texas franchise tax. Whether Plaintiff is doing business in Texas. Whether post-retirement benefits should be included in taxable surplus.

Status: Settled.

House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #95-06986

AG Case #95-300338

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 06/05/95

Period: 1992 Plaintiff's Counsel: Fred O. Marcus

Amount: \$106,136 Horwood, Marcus & Braun

Chicago

David E. Cowling

Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Austin

Issue: Whether the Texas franchise tax is a tax imposed on or measured by net income for purposes of Public Law 86-272; if so, Plaintiff contends that it is not subject to the Texas franchise tax. Whether Plaintiff is doing business in Texas. Whether post-retirement benefits should be included in taxable surplus.

Status: Settled.

Kerrville Telephone Co., The v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN00058

AG Case #001258219

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Refund

Filed: 01/05/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: C. Morris Davis

Period: 1992-1995 McGinnis, Lochridge &

Amount: \$48,437.57 Kilgore
Austin

Issue: Whether receipts from access and billing charges to inter-exchange carriers and from subscriber line charges are Texas gross receipts. Whether the Comptroller failed to follow Rule 3.357 (e)(39), thereby denying due process to Plaintiff.

Status: Inactive.

May Department Stores Co., The v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-06899

AG Case #98-983559

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 06/26/98

Period: 1991-1995 Plaintiff's Counsel: L.G. Skip Smith

Amount: \$207,375 Clark, Thomas & Winters

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's officer and director compensation should be added to taxable surplus for franchise tax purposes.

Status: Retained on suspense docket. See Palais Royal & 3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Comptroller.

Network Security Acceptance Corp., as Successor in Interest to Network Security Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #95-15698

AG Case #96-437029

Franchise Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 12/21/95

Period: 1986-1987 Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

Amount: \$355,619 Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether acquisition debt incurred by an acquiring corporation may be pushed down to the acquired corporation to reduce taxable capital.

Status: Discovery in progress.

North Star Steel Texas, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-12019

AG Case #98-1071152

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 10/23/98

Period: 1992-1995 Plaintiff's Counsel: James F. Martens Amount: \$725,830 Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.

Stahl, Martens & Bernal

Austin

Issue: Whether Comptroller properly interpreted the throw-back rule for purposes of apportioning

gross receipts.

Status: Inactive pending Comptroller v. Fisher Controls, Inc.

Palais Royal, Inc. and 3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-03719 AG Case #96-495867

Franchise Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 04/01/96

Period: 1992-1993 (3 Beall) Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman 1992-1995 (Palais) Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether the 1991 Franchise Tax Statute is unconstitutionally retroactive as applied to the 1992 report year of a fiscal year taxpayer. Whether the officer-director add-back statute is unconstitutional under equal taxation provisions. Whether the implementation of the earned surplus tax component violated due process.

Status: Trial court granted Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment on the due process, retroactivity, and equal tax issues, and granted the State's Motion for Summary Judgment on the officer-director compensation add-back issue. Judgment signed 01/29/01. Appellants' brief filed 06/22/01. Appellees' brief filed 10/05/01. Oral argument held 10/17/01. Appellees' post-submission brief filed 10/29/01.

Pfizer, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN001781

AG Case #001323641

Franchise Tax: Protest Christine Monzingo Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 06/20/00

Period: 1994-1996 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$309,078

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether franchise tax is due on gain from sale of an operating division that was capitalized, incorporated and sold. Whether receipts from sales of drugs shipped from outside Texas should be included in Texas' earned surplus gross receipts. Whether the throw-back rule applies to Michigan sales. Whether tax on income earned before the effective date of the earned surplus component is unconstitutional. Whether all penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Hearing on cross-motions for summary judgment set 02/06/02.

Randall's Food & Drugs, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003174

AG Case #001375450

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Refund

Filed: 10/31/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Jasper G. Taylor, III Period: 1994-1997 Jay M. Chadha Fulbright & Jaworski Amount: \$4,006,942.39

Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's Rule 3.555(g)(3), which denies a carry forward of business losses of a merged corporation by the surviving corporation, is an unconstitutional retroactive law or a violation of Texas and Delaware statutes on mergers. Whether compensation of officers and directors should have been added back to Plaintiff's income and whether doing so violates constitutional equal taxation requirements. Whether some receipts were incorrectly treated as Texas receipts. Whether surplus calculation by the Comptroller should have excluded increases from push-down accounting. Whether failure to waive penalties and interest was arbitrary. Whether the audit has calculation errors. Whether the Comptroller's determination and decision violate equal protection, due process, and other constitutional provisions.

Reliant Energy Corp. (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al. Cause

#GN103935

AG Case #011532348

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 11/28/01

Period: 1998 Plaintiff's Counsel: L.G. Skip Smith
Amount: \$2,581,013.52 David H. Gilliland

Clark, Thomas & Winters

Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff may use business loss carry-forward from non-surviving corporation in merger to reduce its franchise tax.

Status: Answer filed.

Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Co., f/k/a Noram Gas Transmission Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-08127

AG Case #99-1187675

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 07/15/99

Period: 1996 Plaintiff's Counsel: L.G. Skip Smith
Amount: \$163,758.10 David H. Gilliland

Clark, Thomas & Winters

Austin

Issue: Whether a business loss carry-forward of a merged corporation may be used to reduce the surviving corporation's franchise tax.

Status: Answer filed.

Richland Development Corp. v. Comptroller, et al. Cause #96-09117

AG Case #96-573461

Franchise Tax; Protest and Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 08/01/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gerard A. Desrochers

Period: 1989-1991 Houston

Amount: \$1,031,003

Issue: Whether reimbursements to a subsidiary for services procured by the sub for the parent from third parties should be included in gross receipts. Whether post-retirement benefits should be deducted from surplus.

Status: First amended answer filed.

Saudi Refining, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-04227

AG Case #99-1155755

Franchise Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Protest

Filed: 04/09/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ira A. Lipstet

Period: 1994-1995 Therese L. Surprenant Amount: \$502,834.84 & Jenkens & Gilchrist

\$190,000.58 Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may take franchise tax credit as a joint venture partner for equipment sales taxes paid by the joint venture.

Status: Motion to retain granted. Order waiving mediation granted 05/29/01. Trial set 02/19/02.

Schlumberger Technology Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002484

AG Case #001348614

Franchise Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 08/23/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gerard A. Desrochers

Period: 1991 Houston

Amount: \$35,537

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's wage reserve accounts are debt for purposes of the franchise tax. Whether §171.109 is unconstitutional on its face and as applied on grounds of equal protection, equal taxation and due process. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: First amended answer filed.

Sergeant Enterprises, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-15475

AG Case #97-652613

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 12/31/96

Period: 1995 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$42,968 Ray Langenberg

Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether a business loss carry-forward can be transferred to another corporation by way of merger and whether Rule 3.555 prohibiting such a transfer is applicable to audit periods before the effective date of the rule.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Shaklee Corp. d/b/a Shaklee U.S., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-06767

AG Case #96-537466

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 6/10/96

Period: 1992-1993 Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

Amount: \$10,261 Charolette Noel

Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's officer and director compensation should be added to taxable surplus for franchise tax purposes.

Status: First amended answer filed.

Southern Union Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003692

AG Case #011399409

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 12/29/00

Period: 1994 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$549,983 Ray Langenberg

Ray Langenberg Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff was required to use historical cost as the basis of assets of an acquired corporation. Whether post-retirement benefit obligations are debt. Whether disallowing deduction of post-retirement benefits violates equal protection. Whether Plaintiff may use another method to account for depreciation.

Status: Partial settlement.

Specialty Retailers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100415

AG Case #011410529

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 02/08/01

Amount: \$34,167

Period: 1992-1996 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund for a business loss carryforward.

Status: Answer filed.

Specialty Retailers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102549

AG Case #011479979

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Filed: 08/13/01

Period: 1997 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$99,182 Ray Langenberg

Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether the officer add-back provision violates equal and uniform taxation, equal protection, or due process.

Specialty Retailers, Inc. and 3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-

01348

AG Case #98-893255

Franchise Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 02/06/98

Period: 1993 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$250,488

Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & **McConnico**

Austin

Issue: Whether the 1993 franchise tax on earned surplus is a retroactive tax as applied to fiscal year taxpayers.

Status: Bankruptcy stay in effect. See General Dynamics v. Sharp and 3 Beall Brothers 3, Inc. v. Comptroller, et al.

Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-14555

AG Case #99-1249228

Franchise Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 12/15/99

Period: 1994 Plaintiff's Counsel: David H. Gilliland Amount: \$1,028,616.15

L.G. (Skip) Smith

Clark, Thomas & Winters

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a franchise tax credit for sales tax on manufacturing equipment purchased by a joint venture that it co-owned.

Status: Answer filed.

Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102799

AG Case #011496635

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 08/27/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling Period: 1987-1990 Todd Wallace Gregory E. Perry Amount: \$6,683,563.48

Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether goods sent to Plaintiff's "bond rooms" for shipment out-of-state were sales that generated Texas receipts. Whether Plaintiff's long-term contracts were improperly characterized as service contracts. Whether treatment of Plaintiff's cost-plus contracts as service contracts violated equal protection or equal and uniform taxation. Whether all interest should have been waived. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Union Pacific Resources Co. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #95-02334

AG Case #95-234473

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Filed: 02/24/95

Amount: \$1,432,851

Period: 1988-1991 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether various liabilities should be deducted from surplus as debt, including post-retirement benefits, long-term lease obligations, long-term contractual commitments, and liabilities from ongoing litigation. Also, whether the Tax Code is preempted by ERISA.

Status: Answer filed. Pending outcome of General Motors.

Universal Frozen Foods Co., its Successors-in-Interest, Conagra, Inc. and Lamb Weston, Inc., and Universal Foods Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-01956 AG Case #98-901683

Franchise Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 02/23/98

Period: 01/01/98-07/31/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ira Lipstet

Amount: \$613,229 Mary E. Haught
Jenkens & Gilchrist

Jenkens &

Austin

Issue: Whether the "Additional Tax" in §171.0011 is illegal income tax because franchise tax can be imposed only on the privilege of doing business in Texas. Whether the Additional Tax violates other constitutional provisions. Whether a gain on the sale of one Plaintiff's stock from its parent to another company was improperly included in taxable earned surplus for the purpose of calculating the Additional Tax. Whether Rule 3.557(e)(10) is beyond the scope of §171.110 and therefore exceeds the Comptroller's authority. Whether Rule 3.557 is unconstitutional.

Status: Defendants' motion for summary judgment granted and plaintiffs' denied on 10/16/01. Judgment signed 10/22/01. Notice of Appeal filed 11/20/01. Clerk's Record filed 12/13/01. Appellants' brief due 01/14/02.

U.S. Home Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003082

AG Case #001372424

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 10/20/00

Period: 1992 and 1993 Plaintiff's Counsel: D. Steven Henry Amount: \$46,607.88 Gregory A. Harwel

Gregory A. Harwell Robert M. Reed, Jr. Gardere & Wynne

Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to write down or write off the value of its investment in bankrupt subsidiaries.

Status: Answer filed.

Westcott Communications, Inc., Law Enforcement Television Network, Inc., Westcott ECI, Inc. and TI-IN Acquisition Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-14049 AG Case #99-1093113

Franchise Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 12/17/98

Period: 01/01/92-12/31/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$1,182,242.67 Ray Langenberg

Ray Langenberg
Steve Wingard
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether apportionment of satellite service gross receipts to Texas violates the commerce, due process or equal protection clauses of the Constitution or the Tax Code and Comptroller rules apportioning receipts to the state where a service is performed. Alternatively, whether interest should be waived.

Status: Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment set 03/21/02.

Wheelabrator Corp., The and Swindell Dressler Leasing Co. v. Sharp, et al.

Cause #98-00942 AG Case #98-891532

Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt Franchise Tax: Protest

Filed: 01/23/98

Period: 1990-1993 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$38,482 Scott, Douglass & \$473,678

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether intercompany payable account obligations should have been excluded from debt for purposes of calculating franchise tax.

Status: Discovery in progress. Deposition of plaintiff taken 01/25/01. Deposition of defendants taken 03/22-23/01. Trial set 04/15/02.

Xerox Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-06232

AG Case #99-1172602

Franchise Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 05/28/99

Period: 1992-2000 Plaintiff's Counsel: James F. Martens Amount: \$2,290,821.39 Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Stahl, Martens & Bernal

Austin

Issue: Whether transfers of accounts receivables were sales or pledges for federal income and franchise tax apportionment purposes. Whether non-Texas capital gains were improperly offset by capital losses inconsistently with apportionment provisions of the franchise tax. Whether taxpayer had constitutional nexus with Texas. Whether taxpayer was denied equal protection. Whether interest and penalty should be waived. Taxpayer also seeks declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Partial agreed judgment based on *Bandag*.

Sales Tax

Advanta Business Services Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103463

AG Case #011514544

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 10/19/01

Period: 11/01/92-12/31/97 Plaintiff's Counsel: W. Stephen Benesh Amount: \$929.964.11 Deanna E. King

Bracewell & Patterson

Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff's leases were financing leases and not taxable operating leases under Comptroller Rule 3.294(i). Whether the Comptroller's sample was flawed. Alternatively, whether penalty and interest should have been waived.

Status: Answer filed.

Alexopolous, Dimitrios P. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-08096

AG Case #99-1187865

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Judgment

Filed: 07/14/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Stephen W. Sather

Period: 07/01/88-03/31/95 Naman, Howell, Smith &

Amount: \$134,455.65 Lee
Austin

Issue: Issue is whether the Comptroller incorrectly calculated Plaintiff's gross taxable sales by using too low a factor for Plaintiff's personal consumption, improperly comparing Plaintiff's operations to other fast-food outlets, failing to consider that higher subsequent sales were due to population increases, determining that Plaintiff kept inadequate records when Plaintiff had lost them in a fire, and failing to consider the results of an IRS audit. Whether penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 10/15/01. Plaintiff filed bankruptcy petition 09/24/01.

Alpine Industries, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-12998

AG Case #98-1080526

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 11/20/98

Period: 1994-1998 Plaintiff's Counsel: Stephen D. Good Amount: \$31,128.62 Gregory A. Harwe

Gregory A. Harwell
Gardere & Wynne

Dallas

Issue: Whether Alpine may be regarded as a seller for direct sales made in Texas by independent dealers and whether holding Alpine liable for sales tax violates the commerce clause, due process or equal protection.

Status: Discovery in progress.

American Oil Change Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-06374

AG Case #99-1175084

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 06/03/99

Period: 1992-1993 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gerard A. Desrochers

Amount: \$467,142.31 Houston

Issue: Whether materials are provided by Plaintiff to its customers in the course of its motor vehicle repairs under lump sum contracts, requiring Plaintiff to pay tax on the cost of materials. If Plaintiff's contracts are lump sum, whether Plaintiff is entitled to credit for tax collected from its customers and remitted to the Comptroller. Whether software services are taxable when the seller of the services contributes rather than sells the software itself. Whether software services are exempt under §151.346 as sales between affiliated entities of previously exempt services. Whether interest should have been waived. Whether any of the above issues result in a denial of equal protection, equal and uniform taxation or due process under the federal and state constitutions.

Status: Motion to dismiss set by Court for 08/16/01. Plaintiff filed motion to retain 08/13/01.

American Standard, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #92-14483

AG Case #92-165918

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Filed: 10/13/92

Period: 01/01/90-12/31/90 Plaintiff's Counsel: Judy M. Cunningham Amount: \$17,486 Attorney at Law

Austin

Issue: Whether conveyor belts are exempt machinery and equipment; unequal taxation; long-standing

policy.

Status: Settlement in progress.

American Telephone & Telegraph Co. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-06401

AG Case #98-980491

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Filed: 06/15/98

Period: 01/01/84-12/31/89 Plaintiff's Counsel: Jasper G. Taylor, III Amount: \$8,024,506 Fulbright & Jaworski

Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's Office met its burden of proof with respect to the items assessed tax in Exams 9, 10, 12, 13, and 17. Whether Plaintiff's private line services are taxable telecommunications services and, if so, whether they were not subject to tax before 04/01/88.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial scheduled for 04/15/02.

Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03527

AG Case #98-930349

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 04/03/98

Period: 04/01/90-03/31/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

Amount: \$291,196 Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #0000384

AG Case #001273051

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 02/11/00

Period: 04/01/94-12/31/97 Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling Amount: \$281,676.36 Robert Lochridge

Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

Baldry, Ann d/b/a Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al. Cause #95-02389 AG Case #95-234990

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Judgment

Filed: 2/27/95 Plaintiff's Counsel: Alvin L. Thomas, II

Period: 04/01/88-06/30/92 Littler, Mendleson & Fastiff

Amount: \$63,588 Houston

Issue: Whether sales tax is due on maid services provided by maids placed by Plaintiff's service but acting as independent contractors. Also, whether Plaintiff relied, to her detriment, on advice from the Comptroller's Office.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Bedrock General Contractors v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101432

AG Case #011442035

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Nicole Galwardi

Judgment

Filed: 05/10/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Timothy M. Trickey Period: 06/01/92-01/31/96 The Trickey Law Firm

Amount: \$64,552.33 Austin

Issue: Whether successor liability was retroactively imposed. Whether successor liability may be imposed when little or no cash is exchanged in the purchase of the predecessor.

Status: Answer filed.

Bell Bottom Foundation Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-01092

AG Case #99-1112186

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Filed: 01/29/99

Period: 01/01/91-12/31/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: Timothy M. Trickey Amount: \$81,571.73 The Trickey Law Firm

Austin

Issue: Whether taxpayer's sub-contract was a separated contract since the general contractor's construction contract was separated.

Status: Answer filed. Change of counsel filed.

BHC Co. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #95-13037

AG Case #95-386479

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 10/13/95

Period: 05/01/90-04/30/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: Richard Flint
Amount: \$114,532 Pearson & Price
Corpus Christi

Issue: Plaintiff contends that it is providing a single, integrated service, the management and operation of a manufacturing facility, which service is not taxable. Plaintiff contests the Comptroller's assessment of tax on maintenance charges, which Plaintiff considers to be one component of an "integrated non-taxable service."

Status: Discovery in progress.

B.I. Moyle Associates, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-00907

AG Case #99-1108499

Sales Tax: Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 01/26/99

Period: 04/01/91-03/31/95 Plaintiffs Counsel: G. Stewart Whitehead Amount: \$51,711.94

Winstead, Sechrest &

Minick Austin

Issue: Whether taxpayer has substantial nexus with Texas to support imposition of sales and use taxes on its software licensed to Texas residents.

Status: Cross-motion for summary judgment filed. Settled.

Big Tex Air Conditioning, Inc. v. Bullock, et al. Cause #486,321

AG Case #90-322672

Sales Tax: Protest Steve Rodriguez Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 6/26/90

John W. Berkel Period: 04/01/85-07/31/88 Plaintiff's Counsel:

Amount: \$181,397 Houston

Issue: Detrimental reliance and various allegations of unconstitutional enforcement; statute of limitations.

Status: Some discovery done. Inactive.

Border Steel Rolling Mills, Inc. and Border Steel, Inc., as Successor in Interest to Border Steel Rollings Mills, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002671

AG Case #001352137

Sales Tax: Refund Scott Simmons Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 09/08/00

Period: 06/01/91-08/31/95 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Amount: \$76,281.34 Ray, Wood, Fine & Bonilla

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's rail-mounted cranes, related repair parts and labor are exempt from sales and use tax as rolling stock. Whether the Comptroller fully implemented an administrative agreement on taxation of other equipment and parts qualifying for the manufacturing exemption.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Brighton Builders, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-11830

AG Case #97-837489

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 10/15/97

Period: 10/01/92-09/30/95 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Langenberg
Amount: \$195,368 Scott Douglass &

Scott Douglass & McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether certain real property services, such as landscaping and construction site cleanup, are

taxable.

Status: Discovery near completion.

Briscoe, Billy R. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103316

AG Case #011509502

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Judgment

Filed: 10/09/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: James F. Martens

Period: 1975-1979 Stahl, Martens & Bernal

Amount: \$140,000 Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff owes motor vehicle sales tax on trailers affixed to real property. Whether plaintiff may recover damages for harm to his credit rating caused by the Comptroller. Plaintiff seeks release of liens, economic damages and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002895

AG Case #001365014

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Judgment

Filed: 10/02/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: William E. Bailey

Period: 01/01/91-12/31/97 Dallas

Amount: \$250,840.25

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's broadcast services are non-taxable information services under §151.0038(a). Whether Plaintiff's services are not taxable telecommunications services under §151.0103(l) or data processing under §151.0035. Whether the sale or use of Plaintiff's services occurred out-of-state. Whether Plaintiff's experts demonstrated that Plaintiff is exempt under federal law. Plaintiff also asserts limitations as to part of the liability and seeks declaratory and injunctive relief.

Status: Temporary injunction hearing held 11/29/00. Temporary injunction denied 02/08/01.

Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103568 AG Case #011518479

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Judgment, Refund & Protest

Filed: 10/26/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: William E. Bailey

Period: 01/01/91-12/31/97 Dallas

Amount: \$200,000

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's broadcast services are non-taxable information services under §151.0038(a). Whether Plaintiff's services are not taxable telecommunications services under §151.0103(l) or data processing under §151.0035. Whether the sale or use of Plaintiff's services occurred out-of-state. Whether Plaintiff's experts demonstrated that Plaintiff is exempt under federal law. Plaintiff asserts limitations as to part of the liability and also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

C & T Stone Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002428

AG Case #001344233

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Filed: 08/18/00

Period: 04/01/94-12/31/97 Plaintiff's Counsel: William T. Peckham

Amount: \$207,454.40 Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on its sales of limestone to third parties under §151.311(a). Whether Plaintiff detrimentally relied on advice from the Comptroller's Office. Whether exemption certificates covered some sales that were assessed tax. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing exemption under §151.318(g). Whether penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

Cafeteria Operators, L.P. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-14363

AG Case #99-1243411

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 12/09/99

Period: 04/01/91-10/31/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$117,868.69 Ray Langenberg

Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's use of gas and electricity is exempt as processing. Whether Plaintiff's food products are prepared or stored for immediate consumption, thus eliminating the exemption. Whether taxation of Plaintiff's purchases of gas and electricity violates equal protection and lacks a rational basis.

Status: Summary judgment granted for defendants 07/05/01. Notice of appeal and request to clerk to prepare clerk's record filed 08/02/01. Docketing statement filed with Court of Appeals 08/15/01. Clerk's Record filed 09/13/01. Appellants' brief filed 10/10/01. Appellants' request for oral argument overruled on 11/27/01. Case set for submission on the briefs only on 01/14/02. Appellees' brief filed 12/18/01. Appellants' motion for oral argument denied 01/09/02. Appellants' reply brief filed 01/11/02.

Central Power & Light Co. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-11455

AG Case #96-602037

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Filed: 09/20/96

Period: 07/01/86-12/31/89 Plaintiff's Counsel: L.G. Skip Smith

Amount: \$32,788 Clark, Thomas & Winters

Austin

Issue: Whether utility pole replacement services are non-taxable maintenance or taxable repair labor.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Choi, Sung Ju d/b/a Sam Young Trading Co. v. Sharp Cause #95-14940

AG Case #95-424767

Sales Tax; Injunction Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Filed: 11/30/95

Period: 01/01/88-12/31/91 Plaintiff's Counsel: Kenneth Thomas Amount: \$54,068 Attorney at Law

Dallas

Issue: Whether certain resale certificates should have been accepted by the Comptroller during the audit. Whether an injunction to suspend all collection activity should be granted.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Church & Dwight Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000525

AG Case #001258201

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 01/12/00

Period: 10/01/90-12/31/93 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert C. Alden Amount: \$64,868.50 Phillip L. Sampson, Jr.

Bracewell & Patterson

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on promotional materials shipped from out-of-state. Whether the Comptroller's imposition of use tax is invalid because Plaintiff made no use of the materials in Texas. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid. Whether the tax violates the Commerce and Due Process Clauses of the United States Constitution.

Status: Answer filed.

Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03533

AG Case #98-930330

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 04/03/98

Period: 04/01/90-03/31/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

Amount: \$519,192 Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000376

AG Case #001273069

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 02/11/00

Period: 04/01/94-03/31/98 Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling Amount: \$650,361.82 Robert Lochridge

Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

Coastal Refining & Marketing, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03540

AG Case #98-930321

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 04/03/98

Period: 01/01/89-06/30/89 Plaintiff's Counsel: Jasper G. Taylor, III

07/01/89-12/31/91 Fulbright & Jaworski

Amount: \$1,635,965 Houston

Joe W. Cox

Coastal States Management

Corp. Houston

Issue: Whether certain work performed by Plaintiff is new construction under a lump sum contract and thus not taxable.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Colt, Mach V., Trustee of the Harry T. Lloyd Charitable Trust, successor in interest to House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100740

AG Case #011423951

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/09/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Marilyn A. Wethekam
Period: 01/01/95-03/31/99 Horwood Marcus & Berk

Amount: \$645,193.40 Chartered

Chicago, Illinois

David E. Cowling Charolette Noel Gregory E. Perry

Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to refund of sales tax on "hostess free goods," because Plaintiff paid use tax on the goods. Whether sales tax collected from its hostesses on hostess free goods can be refunded to them by a credit for merchandise. Whether Rule 3.325(b)(2) is invalid. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

D&D Recycling, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002278

AG Case #001339886

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Judgment

Filed: 08/09/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Curtis J. Osterloh
Period: 1993-1996 Scott, Douglass &
Amount: \$38,141.72 McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's sort line (conveyor belt) is exempt manufacturing equipment. Plaintiff also

seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Finalizing settlement agreement.

Denmon's H2 Safety Services, Inc. v. Sharp Cause #98-10165

AG Case #98-1047269

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 09/09/98

Period: 07/01/92-01/31/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: Judy M. Cunningham Amount: \$67,366 Attorney at Law

Austin

Issue: Whether tax is due on a charge for training employees and providing safety supervisors in hydrogen sulfide safety at well sites, where Plaintiff also rented equipment.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement in progress. Judgment entered 11/06/01.

E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003589

AG Case #0011395316

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Filed: 12/15/00

Period: 01/01/93-12/31/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: Rudy de la Garza Amount: \$83,138.14 Brownsville

Issue: Whether sales of grocery bags and sacks are not taxable when sold to grocery stores who have provided a blanket sale for resale certificate. Plaintiff also complains of audit calculation errors.

Status: Discovery in progress.

El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103408

AG Case #011509676

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 10/16/01

Period: 01/01/96-01/31/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron Patterson

Amount: \$288,750 Edward Kliewer, III Scott E. Breen

Kliewer, Breen, Garatoni,

Riewer, Breen, Garatoni, Patterson & Malone, Inc.

San Antonio

Issue: Whether plaintiff, a common carrier pipeline owner, owes use tax on an aircraft used in its business.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103409

AG Case #011509650

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 10/16/01

Period: 10/01/93-07/31/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron Patterson

Amount: \$16,290.85 Edward Kliewer, III

Scott E. Breen

Kliewer, Breen, Garatoni, Patterson & Malone, Inc.

San Antonio

Issue: Plaintiff contends that because it operates a common-carrier pipeline and is a certificated or licensed carrier of property it may avoid sales tax on repair, remodeling, and maintenance services purchased in connection with the maintenance and repair of aircraft Plaintiff owns and uses in operating its common-carrier pipeline.

Status: Answer filed.

Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03525

AG Case #98-930358

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 04/03/98

Period: 01/01/89-09/30/92 Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

Amount: \$472,225 Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03524

AG Case #98-930367

Sales Tax: Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 04/03/98

Period: 10/01/92-03/31/96 Plaintiffs Counsel: David E. Cowling

Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue Amount: \$748,773

Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101312

AG Case #011439874

Sales Tax: Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 05/01/01

Period: 04/01/96-06/30/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling Amount: \$614,814.78

Robert Lochridge

Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

FXI Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102724

AG Case #011492857

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Filed: 08/22/01

Period: 10/01/94-06/30/98 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$51,832.31

Ray Langenberg Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass &

McConnico . Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's boxes and packing materials are exempt as items shipped out-of-state. Whether denial of the exemption violates equal protection.

Status: Answer filed.

F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al.

Cause #GN002724 AG Case #001353960

Sales Tax; Injunction Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 09/15/00

Period: 12/01/90-11/30/97 Plaintiff's Counsel: Percy L. "Wayne" Isgitt

Amount: \$360,671.05 Houston

Issue: Whether Comptroller's "estimated audit" is invalid. Whether Plaintiffs are entitled to an injunction of collection and of cancellation of their sales tax permits. Whether Tax Code §§112.051, 112.052, 112.101 and 112.108 are unconstitutional violations of the open courts provision. Plaintiffs seek a reaudit and a refund of money paid under protest in excess of the re-audited amount.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-02407

AG Case #98-914152

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Filed: 03/05/98

Period: 10/01/90-04/30/93 Plaintiff's Counsel: Jasper G. Taylor, III Amount: \$328,829 Fulbright & Jaworski

Houston

Issue: Whether prizes awarded by Plaintiff to successful contestants of coin-operated as well as non-coin operated games are purchased for resale. Whether sales tax constitutes double taxation on machines on which occupation tax is paid and on non-coin games, admission to which is taxed. Advertising and sewing services are not taxable.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiff filed unopposed motion to retain and will consolidate case with pending administrative matters when they are concluded. Motion to retain granted. Scheduling order filed. Trial set 11/12/02.

Galleria Limited v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002277

AG Case #001339944

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 08/09/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gerard A. Desrochers

Period: 1993-1994 Houston

Amount: \$349,084.33

Issue: Whether correction of original construction defects is new construction or real property repair and remodeling. Whether Comptroller Rule 3.357 conflicts with legislative intent. Whether the Comptroller's application of the statute and rule violate due process and equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-07607

AG Case #98-1001886

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Filed: 07/17/98

Period: 01/01/93-09/30/95 Plaintiff's Counsel: Stephen P. Dillon Amount: \$83,910 Lindeman & Dillon

Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller used the proper sampling procedure and whether Plaintiff was correctly notified of the procedure to be used.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set for 05/08/00. Passed by agreement.

Gateway Homes, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-14225

AG Case #99-1093188

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 12/22/98

Period: 01/01/91-09/30/95 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$133,146.26 Ray Langenberg

Paige Amette
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether various service activities such as landscaping, cleaning and waste removal are taxable real property services. Whether any tax due is owed by independent contractor service providers under a tax-included contract. Whether tax was assessed on non-taxable new construction. Whether the assessment violates equal protection and whether interest should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

GATX Terminals Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-10815

AG Case #96-595679

Sales Tax: Refund Jim Cloudt Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 09/06/96

Period: Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Langenberg Amount: \$698,491

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Various real property issues, including: whether repainting operations were repair and remodeling or periodic maintenance; whether the statute of limitations ran on a refund claim, where the statute had run on the vendor; whether work on a metering system was remodeling or new construction; whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of city taxes paid to Houston.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial rescheduled for 05/15/01. Court ordered judgment for defendants 05/29/01. Notice of appeal filed 09/07/01. Appellants' brief due 12/31/01. Appellees' brief due 01/16/02. Oral argument set 02/28/02.

GATX Terminals Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-13414

AG Case #98-1085483

Amount: \$125,330.40

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 12/02/98

Period: 09/01/92-06/30/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

> Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass &

McConnico .

Austin

Issue: Whether certain activities are taxable real property repair and remodeling or non-taxable maintenance and, alternatively, whether penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Trial rescheduled for 05/15/01. Consolidated with *GATX Terminals Corp. v. Sharp, et al.*, Cause No. 96-10815. Court ordered judgment for defendants 05/29/01. Notice of appeal filed 09/07/01. Appellants' brief due 12/31/01. Appellees' brief due 01/16/02.

Gift Box Corp. of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102934

AG Case #011492865

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 09/05/01

Period: 10/91-03/97 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ira A. Lipstet

Amount: \$359,929.22 Matthew G. Grimmer

Jenkins & Gilchrist

Austin

Issue: Whether additional resale certificates should have been accepted for Plaintiff's sales of boxes and packaging materials.

Status: Answer filed.

Graybar Electric Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-01795

AG Case #97-682966

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Filed: 02/13/97

Period: 01/01/88-12/31/91 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$107,667 Ray Langenberg

Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether the sample audit resulted in a correct assessment.

Status: Settlement negotiations pending.

H.J. Wilson Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-11574

AG Case #98-1063332

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 10/13/98

Period: 07/01/90-12/31/93 Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

Amount: \$1,076,019 Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether the purchase of sales catalogs printed out-of-state and shipped to Plaintiff's customers in Texas (at no charge to the customer) incur sales tax.

Status: Answer filed. On hold. Plaintiff filed bankruptcy in Tennessee 03/25/99. Motion to dismiss by court set 05/07/01. Plaintiff filed motion to retain 04/25/01.

Heritage Numismatic Auctions, Inc. and Heritage Capital Corp. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause #99-06186 AG Case #99-1175282

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Filed: 05/27/99

Period: 1993-1995 Plaintiff's Counsel: Brett B. Flagg

10/92-03/96 Brett B. Flagg & Associates

Amount: \$41,549.31 Dallas

\$80,179.86

Issue: Whether inter-company transactions were taxable sale. Whether some audit items were not taxable data processing services. Whether data processing services were exempt inter-company transactions.

Status: Negotiations in progress.

Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-14786

AG Case #91-164788

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 10/18/91

Period: 01/01/87 - 03/31/90 Plaintiff's Counsel: John D. Bell

Amount: \$62,465 Wood, Boykin & Wolter

Corpus Christi

Issue: Whether predominant use of electricity from Plaintiff's meter is exempt. Whether burden of proof in administrative hearing should be clear and convincing evidence or preponderance of the evidence.

Status: Special exceptions and answer filed.

Hines Interests Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003245

AG Case #001381680

Sales Tax; Protest & Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 11/08/00

Period: 07/01/92-02/28/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gerard A. Desrochers

Amount: \$129,677.60 Houston

Issue: Whether correction of original construction defects is new construction or real property repair and remodeling. Whether Comptroller Rule 3.357 conflicts with legislative intent. Whether the Comptroller's application of the statute and rule violate due process and equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Holzem, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-01041

AG Case #96-457827

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Judgment

Filed: 01/26/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: Leland C. De La Garza Period: 07/01/88-03/31/92 De La Garza & Clark

Amount: \$229,930 Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's activities during the audit period constituted new construction or taxable repair and remodeling. Whether Plaintiff must pre-pay the tax.

Status: Plaintiff's motion to be excused from pre-paying tax granted 07/23/96. Discovery in progress. Hearing on Defendants' plea to the jurisdiction denied. State has filed counterclaim.

House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000111

AG Case #001261478

Sales Tax; Protest & Refund

Filed: 01/21/00

Period: 06/01/92-12/31/96

Amount: \$597,281.67

Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Plaintiff's Counsel: Marilyn A. Wethekam

Horwood Marcus & Berk

Chartered

Chicago, Illinois

L.G. (Skip) Smith

Clark, Thomas & Winters

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on direct sales items, hostess free goods and demonstrator kits. Whether Plaintiff owes tax for under-collection of local sales tax. Whether the Comptroller's sample was flawed because it failed to consider over-collections of tax. Whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

Interpak Terminals, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #95-15213

AG Case #95-428718

Sales Tax; Protest

Filed: 12/07/95

Period: 04/01/89-06/19/95

Amount: \$14,125

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Paul Price

Tom Wheat

Pearson & Price Corpus Christi

Scott Simmons

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the exemption for wrapping and packaging materials it uses to package plastic pellets sent to it by the manufacturer of the pellets.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Jerman Cookie Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101492

AG Case #011451598

Sales Tax; Refund and Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/16/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Steve M. Williard Period: 12/01/92 through L. Don Knight

03/31/97 Meyer, Knight & Williams

Amount: \$43,121.45 Houston

Issue: Whether plaintiff's sale of cookies and brownies is taxable under Tax Code §151.314 and Comptroller Rule 3.293. Plaintiff also seeks review under the Administrative Procedures Act and the UDJA, and seeks attorneys fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Jett Racing and Sales, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-04721

AG Case #96-511242

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Judgment

Filed: 04/25/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: Judy M. Cunningham Period: 05/01/88-02/29/92 James D. Blume

Amount: \$105,491 Dallas

Issue: Whether the purchase of an airplane was exempt as a sale for resale.

Status: Discovery in progress.

John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Co., The v. Rylander, et al. Cause

#GN001612

AG Case #001316520

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 06/05/00

Period: 01/01/94-12/31/98 Plaintiff's Counsel: James D. Blume
Amount: \$345,377.95 Jennifer S. Stoddard

Blume & Stoddard

Dallas

Issue: Whether an insurance company is exempt from sales taxes on its use of electricity on the grounds that Tex. Ins. Code Art. 4.11, Section 9 prohibits them.

Status: Answer filed.

L. D. Brinkman & Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #95-06286

AG Case #95-289583

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Filed: 05/18/95

Period: 07/01/90-02/28/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: Charles L. Perry Amount: \$226,413 Arter & Hadden

Dallas

Issue: Plaintiff contends that inventory samples should not have been taxed because they were ultimately sold and tax was collected. Also, whether cardboard rolls and plastic wrapping are exempt under the manufacturing exemption.

Status: Summary judgment pending.

LabOne, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002190

AG Case #001335645

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 08/02/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: James F. Martens Period: 1991-1997 Kirk R. Lyda

Amount: \$520,983.95 Stahl, Martens & Bernal

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff has nexus in Texas for tax on performance of lab tests in Kansas. Whether Plaintiff's activities are taxable insurance services in Texas. Whether Plaintiff's services and sales of supplies are exempt by rule and statute. Whether tax on Plaintiff violates due process and equal taxation. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Lake Charles Yamaha, Inc. v. Morales, et al. Cause #95-3802

AG Case #95-325883

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Judgment

Filed: 07/11/95 Plaintiff's Counsel: Russell J. Stutes, Jr.

Period: 04/01/91-03/31/95 Scofield, Gerard, Veron, Amount: \$150,214 Singletary & Pohorelsky

Lake Charles, Louisiana

Issue: Plaintiff asserts that it has no nexus with Texas and cannot be assessed sales tax, although it concedes that it delivers merchandise into Texas in its own trucks. Plaintiff asks for a declaratory judgment and damages/attorneys fees under 42 USC §§1983 and 1988.

Status: Will be dismissed or non-suited pursuant to Lake Charles Music suit, Louisiana Appeals Court.

Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-11834

AG Case #98-1064363

Sales Tax; Protest; Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/20/98 Plaintiff's Counsel: John Christian Period: 08/1-30/98 Vinson & Elkins

Amount: \$2.054 Austin

Issue: Whether sales tax is due on the portion of country club membership fees designated as "capital improvement fees" and "gratuities."

Status: Discovery in progress.

Lebaron Hotel Corp., d/b/a The Lebaron Hotel v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-17399

AG Case #92-10477

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Filed: 12/13/91

Period: 10/01/87 - 06/30/90 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert C. Cox

Amount: \$22,326 Dallas

Issue: Whether Comptroller could tax an arbitrary percentage of ingredients in complimentary mixed drinks and whether ingredients are exempt because they are taxed elsewhere. Is tax due on repairs to parking lot. Whether purchase of items from Ramada Inn is exempt as entire operating assets of a business or identifiable segment.

Status: Answer filed.

Lee Construction and Maintenance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-01091

AG Case #99-1112160

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Filed: 01/29/99

Period: 01/01/92-12/31/95 Plaintiff's Counsel: Timothy M. Trickey
Amount: \$31,830.47 The Trickey Law Firm

Austin

Issue: Various issues, including credits for bad debts, tax paid, tax on new construction and tax paid in Louisiana, resale exemptions and waiver of penalty and interest.

Status: Settling discovery issue and proceeding towards final resolution.

Leyendecker Construction, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-08076

AG Case #98-1007248

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Declaratory Judgment

Injunction Plaintiff's Counsel: Donato D. Ramos Filed: 07/27/98 Baldemar Garcia, Jr.

Period: 08/01/91-04/30/95 Person, Whitworth, Ramos,

Amount: \$215,486.14 Borchers & Morales

Laredo

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is responsible for sales tax it says it paid to its subcontractors and then collected from its customers as reimbursement. Related evidence issues.

Status: Discovery on hold.

Local Neon Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-15042

AG Case #001254036

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 12/31/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: James D. Blume Jennifer S. Stoddard Period:

Amount: \$34,390.24 Blume & Stoddard

Dallas

Judy M. Cunningham

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff was doing business in Texas by delivering and installing its signs that were sold under contract negotiated outside of Texas. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Lockheed Martin Corp., as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. and Loral Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103525 AG Case #011523446

Sales Tax: Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/24/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 09/01/92-11/30/95 Ray Langenberg

Doug Sigel

Amount: \$2,680,000 Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Medaphis Physicians Services Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #94-11610

AG Case #94-149390

Sales Tax; Protest and Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 09/16/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gary Miles

Period: 05/01/94-06/30/94 Sherri Alexander Amount: \$17,063 Johnson & Wortley

Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's services are taxable (1) insurance services, (2) debt collection services, or (3) data processing services, and whether Rules 3.330, 3.354, and 3.355 exceed the Comptroller's rule making authority.

Status: On hold pending conclusion of the audit.

Melek Corp. v. Rylander Cause #GN002146

AG Case #001339936

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Judgment

Filed: 07/28/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mitzi T. Shannon Period: 1998 Kemp Smith, P.C.

Amount: \$ El Paso

Issue: Plaintiff contests the suspension of its Texas Customs Broker License and disagrees with the Comptroller's policy on goods being exported.

Status: Answer filed.

Melek Corp. v. Rylander Cause #GN100441

AG Case #011410511

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Judgment

Filed: 02/12/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mitzi T. Shannon
Period: 2000 Susan Zulkowski
Amount: \$ Kemp Smith, P.C.

El Paso

Issue: Plaintiff contests the suspension of its Texas Customs Broker License and disagrees with the Comptroller's policy on goods being exported.

Status: Answer filed.

National Business Furniture, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03927

AG Case #98-932766

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 04/15/98 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Period: 01/01/93-07/31/95 Stahl, Martens & Bernal

Amount: \$68,398 Austin

Issue: Whether promotional materials printed out-of-state and delivered into Texas are subject to use

tax.

Status: Answer filed.

Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #93-10279-A

AG Case #93-340549

Sales Tax; Protest, Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

& Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 08/26/93 Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling Period: 01/01/87-03/31/90 Charles Herring

Amount: \$1,046,465 Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Plaintiff's customers buy gifts from Plaintiff outside Texas and have the gifts delivered by common carrier to Texas "donees." Should the Comptroller have assessed use tax on these "gift sends." Second Issue: whether tax is due on certain remodeling services. Plaintiff asks for attorneys fees under 42 USC §§1983 and 1988.

Status: Agreed judgment signed 03/11/96 on the gift send issue. An agreed order for severance was signed on 03/11/96 on the remodeling issues and the attorneys' fees. Cause renumbered 93-10279-A. State filed a plea to jurisdiction on attorneys' fees on 10/06/93.

Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102403

AG Case #011478294

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 08/01/01

Period: 04/01/90-12/31/93 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$1,908,969.01 Ray Langenberg

Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether printing charges for catalogs are not subject to use tax because: (a) the printing services were not used in Texas, (b) the printed catalogs were gifts for which title transferred outside Texas, (c) plaintiff did not have sufficient control to be a Texas user, (d) the statute does not include distribution in the definition of use, (e) no use tax is due under the doctrine of *Morton Bldgs.*, (f) Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) does not apply or is invalid, and/or (g) Tax Code 151.3111(a) exempts the printing service. Whether photograph retouching is (a) a sale of tangible personal property, or (b) repair, remodeling, maintenance or restoration of tangible personal property, or (c) exempt under Tax Code 151.330(e). Also, whether remodeling contracts were tax included and whether sampling was improper. Plaintiff seeks attorneys fees.

Status: Answer filed.

North American Intelecom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-05318

AG Case #97-733563

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 05/02/97

Period: 04/01/91-05/31/95 Plaintiff's Counsel: Jasper G. Taylor, III Amount: \$2,029,180 Fulbright & Jaworski

Houston

Issue: Whether care, custody, and control of Plaintiff's public telephone equipment passed to their customers, so that Plaintiff could buy the equipment tax free for resale.

Status: Inactive.

North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #94-08603

AG Case #94-113766

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: James Parsons

Judgment

Filed: 7/14/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: Judy M. Cunningham Period: 05/02/91-12/31/91 Attorney at Law

Amount: \$24,307 Austin

Issue: Whether a sale of a business approved by the SBA (which held a lien and received the proceeds) is tantamount to a foreclosure sale so that no successor liability should attach.

Status: Answer filed; inactive. Parties are involved in informal discussions to resolve or eliminate issues currently in controversy.

Norwood Homes, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-05637

AG Case #98-970135

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 05/28/98

Period: 10/01/92-06/30/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: John W. Mahoney Amount: \$77,887.44 Williams, Birnberg &

Andersen Houston

Issue: Whether certain cleaning services are taxable as real property services or are part of new construction of real property.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Painter, Lisa G. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101804

AG Case #011459179

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Judgment

Filed: 06/12/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark Eidman Period: 02/01/96-03/31/98 Ray Langenberg

Amount: \$21,074.28

Curtis Osterloh
Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin Issue: Whether the Comptroller improperly applied sales tax to sales made out-of-state. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Settlement in progress.

Paragon Communications v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-10995

AG Case #97-825189

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 09/25/97

Period: 02/01/87-08/31/90 Plaintiff's Counsel: Curtis J. Osterloh

Amount: \$393,497 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether municipal franchise fees paid by Plaintiff and passed on to its customers should be included in taxable cable services. Whether certain services, labor to lay new lines, purchased by Plaintiff were taxable repair and remodeling or were exempt new construction.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Perry Homes, A Joint Venture v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-14226

AG Case #99-1093170

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 12/22/98

Period: 10/01/91-09/30/93 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Amount: \$550,978.17

Ray Langenberg
Paige Amette
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether various service activities such as landscaping, cleaning and waste removal are taxable real property services. Whether any tax due is owed by independent contractor service providers under a tax- included contract. Whether tax was assessed on non-taxable new construction. Whether the assessment violates equal protection and whether interest should be waived.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment set 04/03/02.

Peter Piper, Inc. and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-11750

AG Case #96-613454

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Filed: 09/27/96

Period: 08/01/89-06/30/92 Plaintiff's Counsel: Richard L. Rothfelder Amount: \$155,404 Craig Estlinbaum

Craig Estlinbaum Kirkendall, Isgur &

Rothfelder Houston

Issue: Whether prizes obtained by collecting tickets from amusement machines in a restaurant are "purchased" by the customer as part of the price of the food.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Petrolite Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-13885

AG Case #91-149840

Sales Tax; Protest and Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Refund

Filed: 09/27/91 Plaintiff's Counsel: David H. Gilliland

Period: 04/01/84 - 03/31/88 Clark, Thomas & Winters

Amount: \$432,105 Austin

Issue: Resale certificates; taxable maintenance services; taxability of various chemicals and other tangible personal property used in oil well services.

Status: Inactive.

Pflugerville, City of v. Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority and Carole Keeton Rylander Cause #GV100065

AG Case #

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned:

Judgment J. Bruce Scrafford Filed: 01/11/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark L. Hawkins

Period: 01/22/00-07/01/00 Armbrust, Brown & Davis

Amount: \$ Austin

Issue: What amounts of local tax are due to the City of Pflugerville and Capital Metro.

Status: Answer filed.

Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-03919 (consolidated with Cause No. 95-00690,

Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.)

AG Case #97-706272

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 04/01/97 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gerard A. Desrochers

Period: 01/01/90-12/31/90 Houston

Amount: \$57,815

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erroneously denied Plaintiff's claim for refund of tax paid on manufacturing equipment, alleging that Plaintiff was not engaged in actual manufacturing.

Status: See Cause No. 95-00690, Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.

Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #95-00690

AG Case #95-214921

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 01/18/95 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gerard A. Desrochers

Period: 1990 Houston

Amount: \$74,608

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erroneously denied Plaintiff's claim for refund of tax paid on manufacturing equipment, alleging that Plaintiff was not engaged in actual manufacturing.

Status: Discovery in progress. Stipulation of facts in progress.

Prodigy Services Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-02693

AG Case #99-1130410

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 03/05/99

Period: 01/01/93-06/30/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Amount: \$206,971.88 Stahl, Martens & Bernal

Austin

Martin I. Eisenstein Brann & Isaacson Lewiston, Maine

Issue: Whether use tax is owed on catalogs mailed from out-of-state. Whether imposition of use tax violates the commerce clause, equal protection and equal taxation. Whether taxpayer may recover attorneys' fees under the Uniform Declaratory Judgments Act.

Status: Motion to dismiss set 05/14/01. Plaintiff filed motion to retain. Trial set 03/04/02.

R Communications, Inc. f/k/a RN Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-

4893

#03-91-00390CV AG Case #91-62355

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Judgment

Filed: 04/08/91 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark How

Period: 10/01/80 - 11/02/84 Short, How, Frels &

Amount: \$None (Plaintiff Tredoux was assessed \$67,836 tax Dallas

but did not pay)

Issue: Whether a taxpayer can be required to pay the disputed tax before filing suit in district court. Constitutionality of §112.108 under Texas Constitution Open Courts provision.

Status: District Court granted State's plea to the jurisdiction. State won appeal. Supreme Court reversed and remanded on 04/27/94. State's motion for rehearing denied. Inactive.

RAI Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003556

AG Case #011395266

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 12/12/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling Period: 01/01/89-12/31/93 Gregory E. Perry

Amount: \$297,616.32 Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff lacks nexus for collection of use tax on accounts receivable that were factored to it. Whether Plaintiff is a "seller" or "retailer" engaged in business in Texas. Whether Plaintiff is liable under §111.016 as a person who received tax. Whether imposition of tax denies equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101511

AG Case #011451606

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Judgment and Refund

Filed: 05/17/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 06/01/89 - 12/31/96 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$30,000,000 Doug Sigel

Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass & McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Summary Judgment hearing set 03/04/02. Trial scheduled for 04/29/02.

Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002831

AG Case #001357631

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 09/25/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling Period: 04/01/88-05/31/92 Robert Lochridge

Amount: \$713,686.05 Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

\$206,053.87 Dallas

Issue: Whether various equipment used by the Plaintiff with its trucks is exempt from use tax as tangible personal property sold to a common carrier for use outside the state. Alternatively, whether the equipment had been taxed as vehicle components under the interstate motor carrier tax and could not be taxed as "accessories." Alternatively, whether taxing 100% of the value of the equipment violates the Commerce Clause because of a lack of substantial nexus and of fair apportionment. Whether all tax was paid on Plaintiff's repair and remodeling contracts and capital assets. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Sam Houston Race Park, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN001096

AG Case #001294263

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 04/13/00

Period: 10/01/93-04/30/95 Plaintiff's Counsel: L.G. Skip Smith Amount: \$43,025.00 David H. Gilliland

Clark, Thomas & Winters

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of "totalizator" services, which provide betting information to accompany live pari-mutuel and simulcasts of pari-mutuel races, is not taxable as a data processing service. Whether totalizator services, if they are taxable, are exempt for resale as an integral part of Plaintiff's taxable amusement service.

Status: Answer filed.

Sanchez, Hector and Sidney Fernald, et al. v. Southwestern Bell Telephone Co.

Cause #M-00-146 AG Case #011527892

Sales Tax; Class Action Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Filed: 11/13/01

Period: Plaintiff's Counsel: William J. Tinning

Amount: \$ Portland

Issue: Whether SWBT is liable to class action plaintiffs for over-collection of tax. Comptroller to

provide testimony on tax.

Status: Comptroller to provide testimony on tax.

Schmitz Industries, Inc. v. Sharp Cause #95-15485

AG Case #96-436841

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Filed: 12/15/95

Period: 04/01/89-12/31/92 Plaintiff's Counsel: Charles E. Klein Amount: \$4,418 Attorney at Law

Dallas

Issue: Plaintiff alleges that the audit assessment is wrong because some of the transactions in the sample period are not representative of Plaintiff's business, and some transactions include tax exempt molds, dies and patterns with a useful life of six months or less.

Status: Answer filed.

Schoenborn & Doll Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-07605

AG Case #99-1187592

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 07/01/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Kevin W. Morse

Period: 07/01/95-05/31/97 Blazier, Christensen &

Amount: \$140,936.92 Bigelow

Austin

Issue: Whether the portion of Plaintiff's gym membership fee allocated to aerobic training is included in Plaintiff's taxable amusement services. Whether the Comptroller improperly disregarded the rule addressing non-taxable aerobic and tanning services under the amusement services tax. Whether the Comptroller should have applied its detrimental reliance policy.

Status: Inactive.

Sears Roebuck & Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-04138

AG Case #99-1152398

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 04/08/99

Period: 10/01/88-12/31/91 Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

Amount: \$1,792,421.59 Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether use tax is owed on catalogs printed and shipped from out-of-state. Whether any taxable use was made or any consideration received by plaintiff. Whether "distribution" is a taxable use and whether the Comptroller's rule identifying it as such is valid. Whether imposition of the tax violates the due process, commerce, or equal protection clauses. Alternatively, whether calculation of the tax as on the correct cost basis, whether tax should not be collected because the catalogs are "books," and whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

Service Merchandise Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-11572

AG Case #98-1063308

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 10/13/98

Period: 01/01/92-12/31/93 Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

Amount: \$413,569 Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether the purchase of sales catalogs printed out-of-state and shipped to Plaintiff's customers in Texas (at no charge to the customer) incur sales tax.

Status: Answer filed. On hold. Plaintiff filed bankruptcy in Tennessee on 03/25/99. Motion to dismiss set 05/07/01. Plaintiff filed motion to retain 04/25/01.

Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103910

AG Case #011532355

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 11/27/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.

Period: 01/01/95-12/31/98 Kirk R. Lyda

Amount: \$219,219.35 Stahl, Martens & Bernal

\$47.15 Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff's grit, used in sandblasting vessels, and materials such as paint-gun parts, are exempt as materials used in repairing vessels. Whether denial of the exemption violates equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103390

AG Case #011509668

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 10/15/01

Period: 01/01/96-12/31/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: H. Christopher Mott Amount: \$188,477.57 Krafsur Gordon Mott

El Paso

Issue: Whether plaintiff owes tax on electricity used to freeze food items.

Status: Answer filed.

Southwest Pay Telephone Corp., Successor in Interest to Southwest Pay Telephone Systems, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-00684

AG Case #97-662434

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 01/17/97

Period: 03/01/91-12/31/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mary S. Dietz

Amount: \$117,600 Fulbright & Jaworski

Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiff transferred "care, custody, and control" of telephone equipment to the customers of its public telephone service such that it could buy the equipment tax-free per Rule 3.344 (e).

Status: Inactive.

Spaw-Glass, Inc. and Spaw Glass Construction Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-

06716

AG Case #99-1177965

Sales Tax; Protest & Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Filed: 06/11/99

Period: 04/01/93-03/31/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: Jasper G. Taylor, III 10/01/93-06/30/96 C. Rhett Shaver Fulbright & Jaworski

\$34,469.19 Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is not subject to sales tax because it was a lump sum contractor on the transactions at issue. Whether penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Negotiating settlement terms.

Sprint International Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-14298

AG Case #96-637296

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Filed: 11/22/96

Period: 02/01/86-01/31/90 Plaintiff's Counsel: Wallace M. Smith Amount: \$1,269,474 Donald L. Stuart

R. Kemp Kasling
Drenner & Stuart

Austin

Issue: Whether networking services are taxable as telecommunications services.

Status: Answer filed.

Summit Photographix, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN001808

AG Case #001323633

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Judgment

Filed: 06/23/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark D. Hopkins Period: 01/01/94-12/31/96 Fields & Hopkins

Amount: \$6,532,000 Austin

Hilary Thomas

Kondos & Kondos Law

Offices Richardson

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is a direct sales company and may be regarded as a retailer for sales made by independent retailers of business start-up kits. Whether the Comptroller's rule defining direct sales organizations violates due process. Whether §151.024 was applied retroactively. Whether the items at issue are not taxable tangible personal property. Whether the Comptroller erred in basing the assessment on the suggested retail price of all issued items. Whether penalty and interest should be waived. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (f/k/a Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100633

AG Case #011420734

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Nicole Galwardi

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/01/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Judy M. Cunningham

Period: 01/01/94-12/31/96 Austin

Amount: \$196,492.74

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether equipment is exempt for the same reason.

TCCT Real Estate, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-11647

AG Case #991219239

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/06/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling Period: 10/01/91-03/31/93 Robert Lochridge

Amount: \$146,484.05 Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff sold electricity for commercial use when it obtained electrical service under a management agreement for another company which used the electricity in manufacturing or processing. Whether the exemption for electricity used in manufacturing requires the purchaser of electricity to be the user. Whether Plaintiff can be held as a seller of electricity in violation of the TPURA. Whether Plaintiff's right to equal and uniform taxation has been violated. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

TCCT Real Estate, Inc. as Successor to TCC Austin Industrial Overhead v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-11648

AG Case #99-1219221

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/05/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling Period: 07/01/89-12/31/91 Robert Lochridge

Amount: \$479,719.44 Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff sold electricity for commercial use when it obtained electrical service under a management agreement for another company which used the electricity in manufacturing or processing. Whether the exemption for electricity used in manufacturing requires the purchaser of electricity to be the user. Whether Plaintiff can be held as a seller of electricity in violation of the TPURA. Whether Plaintiff's right to equal and uniform taxation has been violated. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100339

AG Case #011409653

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 02/01/01

Period: 01/01/93-06/30/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$475,000 Ray Langenberg

Ray Langenberg
Eric Hagenswold
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether conversion of drilling rigs to self-propelled, deep water rigs is manufacturing under the statute and Comptroller rules. Whether dredging is non-taxable maintenance of real property. Alternatively, whether interest should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corp. of America; Texas Telecable, Inc.; TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100705

AG Case #011422482

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Filed: 03/07/01

Period: 03/01/93-12/31/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$400,000 Ray Langenberg

Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether cable equipment on the customer's premises qualifies for the sale for resale exemption for property used to provide a taxable service.

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-09521

AG Case #98-1022296

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 08/25/98

Period: 01/01/94-04/03/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron Patterson

Amount: \$85,430 Kliewer, Breen, Garaton,

Patterson & Malone, Inc.

Austin

Michael R. Garatoni Guaranty Center San Antonio

Issue: Plaintiff contends that because it operates a common-carrier pipeline and is a certificated or licensed carrier of property it may avoid sales tax on repair, remodeling, and maintenance services purchased in connection with the maintenance and repair of aircraft Plaintiff owns and uses in operating its common-carrier pipeline.

Status: Summary Judgment granted in Comptroller's favor 10/04/01. Plaintiff filed Motion for New Trial 11/05/01.

Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al. Cause #485,228

AG Case #90-311185

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Filed: 06/05/90

Period: 01/01/85 - 06/30/88 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ira A. Lipstet

Amount: \$294,000 Jenkins & Gilchrist

Austin

Issue: Are pipes exempt as manufacturing equipment or taxable as intra plant transportation.

Status: State's plea to the jurisdiction denied. Discovery and settlement negotiations in progress.

Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103526

AG Case #011523420

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/24/01 Plaintiffs Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 07/01/87-12/31/90 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$27,000,000 Doug Sigel

> Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103527

AG Case #011523438

Jim Cloudt Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned:

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/24/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 01/01/91-07/31/97 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$102,000,000

Doug Sigel

Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass & **McConnico**

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-06997

AG Case #99-1178526

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Filed: 06/17/99

Period: 03/93-05/95 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron Patterson

Amount: \$112,684.43 Kliewer, Breen, Garatoni,

Patterson & Malone

Austin

Michael R. Garatoni Kliewer, Breen, Garatoni, Patterson & Malone

San Antonio

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, a common carrier gas pipeline operator, may claim a sales and use tax exemption on its purchase of an airplane. Whether airplane repair and replacement parts are exempt.

Status: Answer filed.

Union Carbide Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000580

AG Case #001261452

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Filed: 01/13/00

Period: 01/01/89-12/31/92 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$575,857.40 Ray Langenberg

Ray Langenberg Curtis Osterloh

Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to an exemption on labor charges for installing floating roofs on tanks at its chemical plant because: (1) the roofs are exempt pollution control equipment, (2) the labor was for non-taxable new construction, or (3) the labor was for remodeling of tangible personal property.

Status: Answer filed.

Unit 82 Joint Venture v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN001888

AG Case #001327964

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Filed: 07/03/00

Period: 07/01/93-12/31/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: H. Christopher Mott

Amount: \$44,519.03 Krafsur Gordon Mott Davis

& Woody El Paso

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's initial finish-out work is non-taxable new construction.

Status: Discovery in progress. Negotiations in progress. Reviewing plaintiff's offer of settlement.

United Services Automobile Association v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-02927

AG Case #97-694793

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Filed: 03/10/97

Amount: \$656.667

Period: 02/01/91-07/31/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether certain professional and leak detection services are taxable. Whether tax is due on material printed out-of-state and mailed directly to Texas customers.

Status: Partial settlement.

United Services Automobile Association & USAA Life Insurance Co. v. Pulandar, et al. Cause #CN102414

Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103414

AG Case #011509643

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Filed: 10/16/01

Period: 02/01/91-12/31/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$200,000,000+ Ray Langenberg

Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiffs are exempt from sales and other taxes because of Tex. Ins. Code arts. 4.10 and 4.11.

Status: Hearing on Plaintiffs' Partial Motion for Summary Judgment set 03/20/02.

U.S. On-Line Cable v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-09021

AG Case #99-1198896

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Filed: 08/05/99

Period: 10/01/94-07/31/98 Plaintiff's Counsel: James F. Martens

Amount: \$115,958.69 Stahl, Martens & Bernal

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a sale for resale exemption on cable equipment it purchases from out-of-state vendors and users to provide cable service to apartment dwellers.

Status: Case settled.

USA Waste Services of Houston, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003453

AG Case #001388065

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Filed: 12/01/00

Period: 01/01/94-03/31/97 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$14,016.28 Ray Langenberg

Ray Langenberg
Eric Hagenswold
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether steam cleaning done for Plaintiff's customers by a third party is a sale for resale as an integral part of Plaintiff's taxable waste removal services.

Status: Answer filed. Discovery initiated.

Waller Hotel Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03990

AG Case #98-939849

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Filed: 04/16/98

Period: 03/01/91-08/31/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.

Amount: \$51,614 Stahl, Martens & Bernal

Austin

Mark Cohen Attorney at Law

Austin

Issue: Whether purchases of gas and electricity at Plaintiff's hotel were exempt as residential use, based on a utility study conducted by Plaintiff's expert.

Status: Discovery in progress. Case on hold.

West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-11751

AG Case #96-611633

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Filed: 09/27/96

Period: 06/01/88-06/30/92 Plaintiff's Counsel: Richard L. Rothfelder Amount: \$35,247 Milissa M. Magee

Milissa M. Magee Kirkendall, Isgur &

Rothfelder Houston

Issue: Whether prizes obtained by collecting tickets from amusement machines in a restaurant are "purchased" by the customer as part of the price of the food.

Westar Hotels, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-06182

AG Case #97-743945

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Filed: 05/23/97

Period: 11/01/90-07/31/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Amount: \$73,827

Stahl, Martens & Bernal

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes tax on electricity used in its hotels.

Insurance Tax

All American Life Insurance Co., et al. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #98-00195

#03-00-427-CV AG Case #98-880394

Insurance Premium & Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Insurance Maintenance Tax;

Protest Plaintiff's Counsel: Barry K. Bishop

Filed: 01/07/98 Clark, Thomas & Winters

Period: 1991-1994 Austin Amount: \$276.151

(Premium) Dudley D. McCalla

\$4,804 (Maintenance) Heath, Davis & McCalla

Austin

Jay A. Thompson

Thompson, Coe, Cousins &

Irons Austin

Issue: Whether certain transactions called "internal rollover" by Plaintiffs, consisting of substituting one insurance policy for a prior policy and transferring funds, result in gross premiums subject to tax.

Status: Trial set 01/18/00. Judgment for State signed 03/22/00. Plaintiff's filed request for findings of fact and conclusions of law 04/06/00. Plaintiffs filed notice of appeal. Appellants' brief filed 09/29/00. Appellees' brief due 12/01/00. Oral argument held 01/24/01. Reversed and remanded 08/30/01. State filed petition for review with Texas Supreme Court 10/15/01. The Court has requested briefs on the merits, due 01/18/02, but an unopposed motion to extend for 30 days has been filed.

All American Life Insurance Co. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-07917 (Consolidated with Cause #98-00195, All American Life Insurance Co., et al. v. Sharp, et al.)
AG Case #98-1001902

Gross Premium Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Filed: 07/24/98

Period: 1994-1996 Plaintiff's Counsel: Dudley D. McCalla

Amount: \$29,169 Heath, Davis & McCalla

Austin

Issue: Whether certain transactions called "internal rollover" by Plaintiffs, consisting of substituting one insurance policy for a prior policy and transferring funds, result in gross premiums subject to tax.

Status: Consolidated with Cause #98-00195, All American Life Insurance Co., et al. v. Sharp, et al.

Allianz Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000663

AG Case #001280114

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Protest, Injunction &

Declaratory Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Stephen L. Phillips Filed: 03/02/00 Brian C. Newby

Period: 01/01/90-12/31/95

Julie K. Lane

Amount: \$365,506.54 Cantey & Hanger, Roan &

Autrey Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an eligible surplus lines insurer, owes tax for unauthorized insurance. Whether tax should have been collected from the surplus lines agent or from the insured. Whether the Comptroller's assessment is contrary to the McCarran-Ferguson Act and constitutional due process. Whether the Comptroller has authority to assess taxes due before 09/01/93. Whether the Comptroller's rule on penalty and interest is arbitrary and capricious. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations pending.

Allmerica Financial Life Insurance Co. and Annuity Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause

#GN001378

AG Case #001304807

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Protest & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Steven D. Moore

Filed: 05/10/00 Jackson Walker L.L.P.

Period: 1992-1995 Austin

Amount: \$190.352.89

\$43,715.28

Issue: Whether premium taxes are owed on internal rollover transactions. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory judgment under the UDJA and APA and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed. Should be resolved as for *All American Life Insurance*, et al. v. Sharp, et al.

American Bankers Insurance Co. of Florida, et al. v. Ann Richards, et al. Cause

#396,975

AG Case #86-1483

Gross Premium Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

& Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/08/86 Plaintiff's Counsel: Fred B. Werkenthin Period: 1985-1988 Jackson & Walker

Amount: \$1,745,569 Austin

Issue: Whether Tex. Ins. Code art. 4.10 unconstitutionally discriminates against foreign property and casualty companies by basing the premium tax rate on their percentage of Texas investments (equal protection). (Pleadings refer to art. 4.10, but protest letters refer to arts. 4.11 and 21.46.) Also seeks recovery and attorneys' fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983.

Status: Inactive.

American General Life Insurance Co., American National Life Insurance Co., and American National Insurance Co. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-13996 (Consolidated with Cause #98-00195, All American Life Insurance Co., et al. v. Sharp, et al.)
AG Case #99-1093402

Maintenance & Gross Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Premium Tax; Refund

Filed: 12/16/98 Plaintiff's Counsel: Dudley D. McCalla Period: 01/01/91-12/31/94 Heath, Davis & McCalla

Amount: \$204.695.81 Austin

Issue: Whether "internal rollovers" of existing life insurance policies result in gross premiums subject to tax.

Status: Consolidated with Cause #98-00195, All American Life Insurance Co., et al. v. Sharp, et al.

American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause

#GN002666

AG Case #001351998

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Protest & Declaratory

JudgmentPlaintiff's Counsel:Anthony IcenogleFiled: 09/08/00Joseph C. BogginsPeriod: 1995DeLeon & Boggins

Amount: \$362,975.97 Austin

Issue: Whether an authorized surplus lines insurer is required to pay unauthorized insurance tax when the Comptroller is unable to verify payment of tax by the agent. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully relied on another hearings decision as precedent. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Consolidated with *Lexington Insurance Co. and Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.*

Dow Chemical Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-05725

#03-00-354-CV; #01-0203 AG Case #99-1168444

Independently Procured Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Insurance Tax; Protest

Filed: 05/17/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 1991-1997 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$427,148.80 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether statute levying tax on independently procured insurance is unconstitutional under the *Todd Shipyards* case.

Status: Plaintiff's summary judgment motion filed. State's motion for summary judgment granted 04/06/00. Plaintiff filed notice of appeal. Dow's brief filed. Comptroller's brief filed. Argued 11/15/00. Reversed and rendered 01/25/01. Comptroller's petition filed 03/12/01. Response to petition filed 05/16/01. Comptroller's reply filed 05/31/01. Petition denied 06/07/01. Comptroller's petition for writ of certiorari filed 09/05/01. Cert. denied 10/29/01.

Dow Chemical Co., The v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002457

AG Case #001348606

Independently Procured Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Insurance Tax: Protest

Filed: 08/22/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Period: 1998 & 1999
Amount: \$61,711.06
Ray Langenberg
Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin Issue: Whether statute levying tax on independently procured insurance is unconstitutional under the Todd Shipyards case.

Status: Answer filed.

Federal Home Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-06142

AG Case #99-1173279

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/26/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron K. Eudy

Sneed, Vine & Perry Period: 1998

Amount: \$9.328.01 Austin

Issue: Whether retaliatory insurance tax was improperly assessed because there is no similar Texas insurance company licensed and actually doing business in plaintiff's home state which paid more aggregate taxes than plaintiff. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Settled.

Fireman's Fund Insurance Co. of Ohio v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101899

AG Case #011464476

Insurance Premium Tax; Steve Rodriguez Asst. AAG Assigned:

Protest & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Stephen L. Phillips Filed: 06/20/01 Brian C. Newby

Period: 1992-1998 Julie K. Lane

Amount: \$439.074.12 Cantey & Hanger, Roan &

> Autry Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an authorized surplus lines insurer, is liable for unauthorized insurance premiums tax. Whether the Comptroller lacks authority to determine that Plaintiff is an unauthorized insurer, and whether the Texas Department of Insurance is required to make that determination. Whether the Comptroller engaged in selective and improper enforcement. Whether the assessment violates Due Process and the McCarran-Ferguson Act. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

First Colony Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-06143

AG Case #99-1173287

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/26/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron K. Eudy

Period: 1998 Sneed, Vine & Perry

Amount: \$192,371.48 Austin

Issue: Whether retaliatory insurance tax was improperly assessed because there is no similar Texas insurance company licensed and actually doing business in plaintiff's home state which paid more aggregate taxes than plaintiff. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Settled.

GE Life and Annuity Assurance Co., f/k/a Life Insurance Co. of Virginia v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-06145

AG Case #99-1173097

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/26/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron K. Eudy

Period: 1998 Sneed, Vine & Perry

Amount: \$59,574.64 Austin

Issue: Whether retaliatory insurance tax was improperly assessed because there is no similar Texas insurance company licensed and actually doing business in plaintiff's home state which paid more aggregate taxes than plaintiff. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Settled.

General Electric Capital Assurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-06144

AG Case #99-1173295

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/26/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron K. Eudy

Period: 1998 Sneed, Vine & Perry

Amount: \$46.658.03 Austin

Issue: Whether retaliatory insurance tax was improperly assessed because there is no similar Texas insurance company licensed and actually doing business in plaintiff's home state which paid more aggregate taxes than plaintiff. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Settled.

Great Northern Insured Annuity Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-06146

AG Case #99-1173089

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/26/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron K. Eudy

Period: 1998 Sneed, Vine & Perry

Amount: \$8,459.31 Austin

Issue: Whether retaliatory insurance tax was improperly assessed because there is no similar Texas insurance company licensed and actually doing business in plaintiff's home state which paid more aggregate taxes than plaintiff. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Settled.

Harvest Life Insurance Co., The v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-06147

AG Case #99-1173063

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/26/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron K. Eudy

Period: 1998 Sneed, Vine & Perry

Amount: \$26.640.79 Austin

Issue: Whether retaliatory insurance tax was improperly assessed because there is no similar Texas insurance company licensed and actually doing business in plaintiff's home state which paid more aggregate taxes than plaintiff. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Settled.

Heritage Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-06148

AG Case #99-1172958

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/26/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron K. Eudy

Period: 1998 Sneed, Vine & Perry

Amount: \$10,987.86 Austin

Issue: Whether retaliatory insurance tax was improperly assessed because there is no similar Texas insurance company licensed and actually doing business in plaintiff's home state which paid more aggregate taxes than plaintiff. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Settled.

IDS Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-13368 (Consolidated with Cause #98-00195, All American Life Insurance Co., et al. v. Sharp, et al.)

AG Case #99-1238965

Insurance Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Filed: 11/16/99

Period: 1995-1998 Plaintiff's Counsel: Jay A. Thompson

Amount: \$234,383.82 Thompson, Coe, Cousins &

\$2,039.79 Irons Austin

Issue: Whether certain transactions called "internal rollover" by Plaintiffs, consisting of substituting one insurance policy for a prior policy and transferring funds, result in gross premiums subject to tax.

Status: Consolidated with Cause #98-00195, All American Life Insurance Co, et al. v. Sharp, et al.

Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause

#GN100569

AG Case #011417896

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Protest & Declaratory

JudgmentPlaintiff's Counsel:Anthony IcenogleFiled: 02/22/01Joseph C. Boggins

Period: 1992-1995 De Leon & Boggins

Amount: \$1,596,196.63 Austin

\$36,174.92

Issue: Whether an authorized surplus lines insurer is required to pay unauthorized insurance tax when the Comptroller is unable to verify payment of tax by the agent. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully relied on another hearings decision as precedent. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Liberty National Life Insurance Co. v. Martha Whitehead, et al. Cause #93-08432 AG Case #93-311009

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 07/15/93 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron Eudy

Period: 1990-1992 Sneed, Vine & Perry

Amount: \$54,511 Austin

Issue: Whether art. 21.46 retaliatory tax has been properly applied to Plaintiff's tax rates in Texas and Alabama, and whether the tax violates equal taxation and equal protection. (Also Plaintiff seeks recovery under the Declaratory Judgments Act and 42 U.S.C. §1983 including attorneys' fees.)

Status: Settled.

Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al. Cause #484,745 AG Case #90-304512

Gross Premium Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Filed: 05/24/90

Period: 1985-1986 Plaintiff's Counsel: Fred B. Werkenthin 1989-1992 Jackson & Walker

Amount: \$1,848,606 Austin

Issue: Whether insurance taxes are owed by insurance companies on dividends applied to paid-up additions and renewal premiums.

Status: 9th Amended Petition filed. Settlement discussed, and partial settlement agreed to. Final judgment signed on paid-up additions issue. Renewal premium issue severed and retained on docket.

Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al. Cause #484,796

AG Case #90-304503

Maintenance Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Filed: 05-23-90

Period: 1989-1991 Plaintiff's Counsel: Fred B. Werkenthin Amount: \$1,616,497 Jackson & Walker

Austin

Issue: Whether Tex. Ins. Code art. 21.07-6 is preempted by ERISA.

Status: One Plaintiff has submitted documentation supporting a refund. Case will be concluded in accordance with *NGS v. Barnes*, 998 F.2d 296 (5th Cir. 1993). Severance and final judgment entered for Metropolitan. Awaiting documentation for other Plaintiffs.

Philadelphia Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101330

AG Case #011439866

Insurance Premium & Gross Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Premium Tax; Protest

Filed: 05/02/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Kevin F. Lee
Period: 1992-1996 Michael W. Jones

Amount: \$466,381.65 Thompson, Coe, Cousins &

Irons Austin

Issue: Whether certain transactions called "internal rollover" by Plaintiffs, consisting of substituting one insurance policy for a prior policy and transferring funds, result in gross premiums subject to tax.

Status: Answer filed.

Principal Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-06141

AG Case #99-1173105

Retaliatory Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/26/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron K. Eudy

Period: 1998 Sneed, Vine & Perry

Amount: \$256,577.79 Austin

Issue: Whether retaliatory insurance tax was improperly assessed because there is no similar Texas insurance company licensed and actually doing business in plaintiff's home state which paid more aggregate taxes than plaintiff. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Settled.

Security National Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN001503

AG Case #001310820

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Protest

Filed: 05/23/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Jay A. Thompson

Period: 1995-1998 Thompson, Coe, Cousins &

Amount: \$1,226,220.50 Irons
Austin

Barry K. Bishop

Clark, Thomas & Winters

Austin

Issue: Whether daily negative bank account balances should be adjusted to \$0 to compute the proper percentage of Texas investments for gross premiums tax.

Status: Answer filed.

Southwestern Life Insurance Co. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-11945

AG Case #98-1065840

Gross Premium Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Maintenance Tax; Protest

Filed: 10/22/98 Plaintiff's Counsel: L.G. Skip Smith

Period: 01/01/92-12/31/95 Clark. Thomas & Winters

Amount: \$392,737 Austin

Issue: Whether certain transactions called "internal rollover" by Plaintiffs, consisting of substituting one insurance policy for a prior policy and transferring funds, result in gross premiums subject to tax.

Status: Answer filed. Will be determined as for *All American Life Insurance Co, et al. v. Sharp, et al.*

Southwestern Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000875

AG Case #001288869

Gross Premium Maintenance Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Tax; Protest & Refund

Filed: 03/24/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: L.G. Skip Smith Period: 01/01/96-12/31/98 David H. Gilliland

Amount: \$384,446.75 Clark, Thomas & Winters

Austin

Issue: Whether certain transactions called "internal rollover" by Plaintiffs, consisting of substituting one insurance policy for a prior policy and transferring funds, result in gross premiums subject to tax.

Status: On hold pending outcome of All American Life Insurance v. Rylander, et al.

St. Paul Surplus Lines Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102788

AG Case #011490877

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Refund, Protest &

Declaratory Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Michael W. Jones

Filed: 08/24/01 Kevin F. Lee

Period: 01/01/95-12/31/98 Austin

Amount: \$163,021.27

Richard S. Geiger

Dallas

Thompson, Coe, Cousins &

Irons

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an eligible surplus lines insurer, is liable for unauthorized insurance tax. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

State Farm Life Insurance Co. v. Cornyn, et al. Cause #99-07980

AG Case #99-1187642

Gross Premium Tax; Protest, Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Refund & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Michael W. Jones

Filed: 07/13/99 Thompson, Coe, Cousins &

Irons Austin

Period: 1990 1992

1994

Amount: \$1,027,067.59

\$395,949.71 \$294,607.28

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's debt instruments are mortgage loans or corporate bonds or other obligations for purposes of its Texas investments allocation. Whether Plaintiff's interests in limited partnerships qualified as real estate investments. Whether allocation of quarterly U.S. bond holdings was proper. Whether calculation of bank balances was proper. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Texas Workers' Compensation Insurance Facility v. Comptroller Cause #96-07940 AG Case #96-555551

Maintenance Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 07/09/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: Frank Stenger-Castro

Period: 1992-1995 Fred Lewis
Amount: \$ Texas Workers'

Compensation Insurance

Facility Austin

Issue: Plaintiff seeks a ruling that Rule 3.804(d) concerning a maintenance tax surcharge is invalid.

Status: Inactive. Court set on dismissal docket.

Texas Workers' Compensation Insurance Facility v. Comptroller, et al. Cause #97-

03602

AG Case #97-700580

Maintenance Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Filed: 03/25/97

Period: 1992-1995 Plaintiff's Counsel: Larry Parks

Amount: \$23,623,585 Long, Burner, Parks &

Sealey Austin

Issue: Whether the Facility may recover from the State the maintenance tax surcharge which it reimbursed to insurers.

Status: Plaintiff's amended motion for summary judgment filed. Hearing on cross motions held 03/07/01. Summary Judgment granted for defendants 05/25/01. Plaintiff filed notice of appeal. Record filed. Facility's brief filed 08/24/01. Argued 11/14/01. Affirmed for Appellee 01/20/02.

Union Fidelity Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-06149

AG Case #99-1173006

Retaliatory Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/26/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron K. Eudy

Period: 1998 Sneed, Vine & Perry

Amount: \$147,554.42 Austin

Issue: Whether retaliatory insurance tax was improperly assessed because there is no similar Texas insurance company licensed and actually doing business in plaintiff's home state which paid more aggregate taxes than plaintiff. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Settled.

Union Standard Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003565

AG Case #011395308

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Protest

Filed: 12/13/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Jim Shawn Period: 01/01/93-12/31/96 Ron K. Eudy

Amount: \$216,572.39 Sneed, Vine & Perry

Austin

Issue: Whether "cash fund investments" are Texas investments under the property and casualty insurance premium tax in effect during the audit period. Whether the property and casualty insurance premium tax should be interpreted like the life insurance premium tax. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to detrimental reliance relief because its qualified investment was not challenged by the Department of Insurance. Alternatively, whether Plaintiff should recover interest because of delay by the Comptroller in reaching a decision.

Status: Answer filed.

United American Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-06836

AG Case #99-1176355

Gross Premium Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

& Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 06/15/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Sam R. Perry

Period: 1990-1996 Sneed, Vine & Perry

Amount: \$1,262,878.98 Austin

\$7,487.00

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's investment in a limited partnership which held Texas mineral interests qualifies as a Texas investment for purposes of reducing Plaintiff's gross premiums tax rate. Whether investments in limited partnerships should be treated the same as investments in corporations. Whether Plaintiff was denied equal protection under the federal or state constitutions. Plaintiff also asks for attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Universe Life Insurance Co. v. State of Texas Cause #97-05106

AG Case #97-727302

Insurance Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Filed: 04/29/97

Period: 1993 Plaintiff's Counsel: Larry Parks

Amount: \$56,958 Long, Burner, Parks &

Sealey Austin Issue: Whether plaintiff should be given credit against tax due for examination fees paid to the state in connection with a market conduct examination report ordered by the Texas Department of Insurance. Plaintiff also asks for penalty and interest waiver.

Status: Cross-motions for summary judgment heard 11/12/97. Summary judgment granted for Plaintiff. State has appealed. Case submitted without oral argument 07/06/98. Affirmed in part, reversed and remanded in part 03/11/99. State's motion for rehearing denied. Petition for review filed 06/01/99. Briefs on merits requested by Court. State's brief filed 10/18/99. Petition denied. Case remanded to trial court.

Universe Life Insurance Co., The v. Cornyn, et al. Cause #GN002605

AG Case #001348580

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Refund

Filed: 09/01/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Larry Parks

Period: 1993 Long, Burner, Parks, 1994 McClellan & Delargy

Amount: \$87,288.51 Austin

\$426,620.38

Issue: Whether plaintiff should be given credit against tax due for examination fees paid to the state in connection with a market conduct examination report ordered by the Texas Department of Insurance. Plaintiff also asks for penalty and interest waiver.

Status: Answer filed.

Warranty Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-12271

AG Case #99-1226739

Insurance Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/20/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Nanette K. Beaird

Period: 1993-1997 Raymond E. White 1993-1997 Daniel Micciche

Amount: \$416,462.73 Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer

\$214,893.74 & Feld Austin Issue: Whether the Comptroller improperly included amounts not received by Plaintiff in Plaintiff's gross premiums tax base. Whether any maintenance tax is payable on Plaintiff's business of home warranty insurance. Whether the Comptroller is bound by the prior actions and determinations of the Texas Department of Insurance. Whether the assessments of tax violate due process and equal taxation. Whether penalty and interest should have been waived.

Status: Informal discovery in progress. Case will go to mediation. On dismissal docket. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain.

Other Taxes

Buffalo ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV001433

AG Case #001376227

Property Tax; Administrative Asst. AAG Assigned:

Appeal & Injunction

Filed: 06/23/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Roy L. Armstrong

Period: 1999 Robert L. Meyers

Amount: \$ McCreary, Veselka, Bragg

& Allen Austin

Nicole Galwardi

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and inspecting sample properties.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Caldwell, Marcie v. Rylander Cause #99-13088

AG Case #99-1234329

Declaratory Judgment Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 11/08/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Joe K. Crews
Period: 1992-Present Diane S. Jacobs
Amount: \$ Ivy, Crews & Elliott

Austin

Issue: Whether county court fees collected from persons who are convicted of any criminal offense are constitutional. Plaintiff seeks class action declaratory and injunctive relief to prevent Comptroller from collecting fees. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Plea to Jurisdiction denied 01/06/00. Preparing Interlocutory Appeal. Oral argument set 04/26/00. Trial court decision holding jurisdiction affirmed. Plaintiff waived all rights to refund of court costs. Summary Judgment filed. County Association Amicus brief filed.

Castleberry ISD; Ennis ISD; Canyon ISD; La Porte ISD v. Comptroller Cause #96-

08010

AG Case #96-599817

Property Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Judgment

Filed: 07/11/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott
Period: 1994 Joseph Longoria

Amount: \$ Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,

Collins & Mott

Houston

Issue: Various issues concerning the validity of the Comptroller's property value study.

Status: Answer and Special Exception filed. Inactive. Settlement reached with Canyon ISD. Only La Porte ISD is now pending. LaPorte ISD has made a settlement offer. Discovery in progress.

Centerville ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV001431

AG Case #001376243

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Nicole Galwardi

Administrative Appeal &

Injunction Plaintiff's Counsel: Roy L. Armstrong Filed: 06/23/00 Robert L. Meyers

Period: 1999 McCreary, Veselka, Bragg

Amount: \$ & Allen Austin/Waco

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and inspecting sample properties.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Chevron USA, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-06931

AG Case #96-538704

Amount: \$157,463

Natural Gas Production Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Refund

Filed: 06/13/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 08/18/90 Ray Langenberg

Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin Issue: Whether tax should have been assessed on Order 94 payments.

Status: Discussions in progress.

Chrysler Financial Co., L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-13243

AG Case #99-1238189

Motor Vehicle Tax; Refund

Filed: 11/12/99

Period: 10/01/90-11/30/96

Amount: \$3,405,494.49

Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

David E. Otero

Akerman, Senterfitt &

Eidson Florida

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, as assignee of installment contracts with Chrysler dealers, is entitled to a refund under the bad debt credit provision in the sales tax for taxes on motor vehicles that were not paid by defaulting vehicle purchasers. Whether there is any rational basis to distinguish between vehicle sales and other sales or between vehicle rental receipts and vehicle sales receipts for purposes of bad debt relief.

Status: Answer filed.

Cockrill, Charles T. v. Comptroller of Public Accounts, et al. Cause #CJ-00-308 AG Case #001368513

Property Tax; Declaratory Asst.

Judgment

Filed: 10/12/00

Period:

Amount: \$99,425.50

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Gene Storie

Plaintiff's Counsel: Douglas L. Jackson

Vance T. Nye

Gungoll, Jackson, Collins,

Box & Devoll Enid, Oklahoma

Issue: Whether the Comptroller asserts any interest in art works that were sold by a taxpayer subject to a tax lien.

Status: Comptroller disclaims interest.

Dekalb ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV102002

AG Case #011479961

Property Tax; Administrative

Appeal & Injunction

Filed: 07/25/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Kirk Swinney
Period: 2001 Harvey M. Allen

Asst. AAG Assigned:

Amount: \$ McCreary, Veselka, Bragg

& Allen Austin

Jana Kinkade

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and inspecting sample properties.

Status: Discovery in progress.

DeSoto ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts Cause #GV102073

AG Case #011474624

Property Tax; Administrative Asst. AAG Assigned: Nicole Galwardi

Appeal

Filed: 07/27/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Period: 2000 Ray, Wood, Fine & Bonilla

Amount: \$ Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and inspecting sample properties.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Deweyville ISD v. Rylander Cause #GV001637

AG Case #001335355

Property Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Nicole Galwardi

Judgment

Filed: 07/14/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: John H. Wofford
Period: 1999 Law Office of John H.

Amount: \$ Wofford

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and inspecting sample properties. Whether the Comptroller failed to acknowledge local economic conditions, to timely provide a "clerical errors" report, and to accept additional information.

Status: Discovery in progress.

El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Sharp Cause #91-6309

AG Case #91-78237

Gas Production Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/06/91 Plaintiff's Counsel: Alfred H. Ebert, Jr. Period: 01/01/87 - 12/31/87 Andrews & Kurth

Amount: \$10,337,786 Houston

Issue: Whether Comptroller should have granted Plaintiff a hearing on penalty waiver and related issues.

Status: State's Plea in Abatement granted pending outcome of administrative hearing on audit liability. Negotiations pending.

Fort Davis ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV001764

AG Case #001339852

Property Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Nicole Galwardi

Judgment

Filed: 07/28/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: James R. Evans, Jr.

Period: 1999

Linebarger Heard Goggan

Amount: \$

Blair Graham Pena &

Sampson Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and inspecting sample properties. Whether the Comptroller failed to acknowledge local economic conditions, to timely provide a "clerical errors" report, and to accept additional information.

Status: Answer filed.

Gainesville ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts Cause #GV102071

AG Case #011474574

Property Tax; Administrative Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Appeal

Filed: 07/27/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Period: 2000 Ray, Wood, Fine & Bonilla

Amount: \$ Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties that involved creative financing.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Hernandez, Juan Luis v. Rylander, et al. Cause #C-294-00-G

AG Case #001365550

Declaratory Judgment Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/03/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Kelly K. McKinnis

Period: 12/22/92 McAllen

Amount: \$24,451.35

\$33,252.57

Issue: Whether drug tax liens were mistakenly filed on Plaintiff.

Status: Answer filed.

MFC Finance Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002653

AG Case #001352632

Motor Vehicle Sales Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Refund

Filed: 09/07/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Period: 01/01/96-12/31/98 Ray Langenberg
Amount: \$5,533,079.80 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to tax credit and refund as provided under the sales tax bad debt statute for motor vehicle taxes on installment sales where the purchaser defaulted. Whether the refusal to allow a refund violates equal taxation because there is no rational basis to treat installment sellers of vehicles differently than vehicle renters and other retailers.

Status: Answer filed.

MFN Financial Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002650

AG Case #001352129

Motor Vehicle Sales Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Refund

Filed: 09/07/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 01/01/96-12/31/98 Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McCounies

McConnico

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to tax credit and refund as provided under the sales tax bad debt statute for motor vehicle taxes on installment sales where the purchaser defaulted. Whether the refusal to allow a refund violates equal taxation because there is no rational basis to treat installment sellers of vehicles differently than vehicle renters and other retailers.

Status: Answer filed.

Marathon Oil Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000328

AG Case #001261395

Gas/Oil Production Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Refund & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Hal K. Dickenson Filed: 01/10/00 Marathon Oil Co.

Period: 1994-1997 Houston

Amount: \$1,363,482.60

Issue: Whether the market value of oil for the production tax must be reduced by Plaintiff's marketing and processing costs. Whether taxing oil and gas production differently violates equal protection and uniform taxation. Whether the Comptroller's policy on allowable deductions is arbitrary and denies due process. Whether the Comptroller's policy is invalid because it was not adopted as a rule.

McLane Co., Inc. and McLane Foodservice-Lubbock, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause #GN104253

AG Case #

Protest Tax; Protest, Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Injunction & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Filed: Kirk R. Lyda Period: David J. Sewell

Amount: \$1,173.83 & Stahl, Martens & Bernal

\$3,690.00 Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller must accept a letter of credit as security for Plaintiff's participation in

the cigarette tax trust fund.

Status: Answer filed.

Mineola ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts Cause #GV102070

AG Case #011474616

Property Tax; Administrative Asst. AAG Assigned: Nicole Galwardi

Appeal

Filed: 07/27/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Period: 2000 Ray, Wood, Fine & Bonilla

Amount: \$ Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly valuing commercial personal properties.

Status: Discovery in progress.

New Boston ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV102003

AG Case #011479953

Property Tax; Administrative Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Appeal & Injunction

Filed: 07/25/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Kirk Swinney
Period: 2001 Harvey M. Allen

Amount: \$ McCreary, Veselka, Bragg

& Allen Austin Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and inspecting sample properties.

Status: Discovery in progress.

New Crew Quarters 2, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002606

AG Case #001352111

Mixed Beverage Gross Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Receipts Tax; Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Filed: 09/01/00 Ray Langenberg Curtis J. Osterloh Period: 09/01/93-02/28/97 Amount: \$216.325.07 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Issue: Whether audit incorrectly assessed mixed beverage tax by failing to consider changes in inventory and periods of business closures. Whether 50% fraud penalty was incorrectly assessed where some of the Plaintiff's books and records were destroyed by fire. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Jury trial set 03/25/02.

Oakwood ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV001432

AG Case #001376201

Property Tax; Administrative Asst. AAG Assigned: Nicole Galwardi

Appeal & Injunction

Filed: 06/23/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Roy L. Armstrong Period: 1999

Robert L. Meyers

McCreary, Veselka, Bragg Amount: \$

& Allen

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and inspecting sample properties.

P.W. Jones Oil Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-02941

AG Case #96-485280

Diesel Fuel Tax; Injunction Asst. AAG Assigned: Steve Rodriguez

Filed: 03/12/96

Period: 1989-1993 Plaintiff's Counsel: John A. Leonard Amount: \$176,959 Russell & Leonard

Wichita Falls

Issue: Whether Plaintiff can rebut the presumption that the sale of diesel fuel is taxable. Plaintiff also asks for an injunction to stop collection action.

Status: Inactive.

Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-11987

AG Case #91-133170

Motor Vehicle Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 08/26/91

Period: 12/01/86 - 09/30/89 Plaintiff's Counsel: George L. Preston

Amount: \$21,796 Paris

Issue: Whether motor vehicle tax should fall on dealer/seller rather than the purchaser under §152.044. Related constitutional issues.

Status: Inactive.

Shelton, James M., Estate of, Deceased, and Carroll A. Maxon, Independent Co-Executor v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN104094

AG Case #021542261

Inheritance Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Refund

Filed: 12/14/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: James F. Martens

Period: Jessica Scott

Amount: \$1.616.018 Stahl, Martens & Bernal

Austin

Issue: Whether the IRS and Comptroller failed to give proper credit against the estate value for a pending lawsuit and administrative expenses.

Status: Answer filed.

Travis Co., et al. v. Lot 1, Baker Dale Addn. Cause #X99-01147

AG Case #99-1195629

Property Tax; Ad Valorem Asst. AAG Assigned: Ja

James Parsons

Filed: 08/04/99

Amount: \$112,123.6

Period: 1994-1998 Plaintiff's Counsel: Carol V.M. Garcia

Assistant Travis County

Attorney Austin

Issue: Whether properties in which the University of Texas System owns an interest may be foreclosed for payment of property taxes.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations in progress.

Uvalde ISD v. Comptroller of Public Accounts Cause #GV102072

AG Case #011474582

Property Tax; Administrative Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Appeal

Filed: 07/27/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Period: 2000 Ray, Wood, Fine & Bonilla

Amount: \$ Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties that

involved creative financing.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Valentine ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV001763

AG Case #001339860

Property Tax; Administrative Asst. AAG Assigned: Nicole Galwardi

Appeal

Filed: 07/28/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: James R. Evans, Jr.

Period: 1999 Linebarger Heard Goggan
Amount: \$ Blair Graham Pena &

Sampson Austin Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller failed to consider local modifiers, sales, and market information.

Status: Answer filed.

West Orange-Cove CISD, Coppell ISD, La Porte ISD, Port Neches-Groves ISD v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GV-100528

AG Case #011433026

Property Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Nicole Galwardi

Judgment

Filed: 04/09/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: George W. Bramblett, Jr.

Period: Carrie L. Huff
Amount: \$ Haynes and Boone

Dallas

W. Wade Porter Haynes and Boone

Austin

Issue: Whether the \$1.50 cap on the school districts' maintenance and operations taxes creates an unconstitutional state property tax. Plaintiffs also seek attorneys' fees.

Status: Plea to the jurisdiction set 06/28/01. Plea granted. Case dismissed.

Index

Additional tax	Detrimental reliance, 24
Rule 3.557, 16	Direct Sales
Administrative hearing, 93	Definition and application, 60
Aircraft	nexus, 20
maintenance, repair & remodeling, 33, 63	refund of tax collected from independent
purchase by common carrier pipeline, 32	contractor, 31
repair & replacement parts, 65	taxable use, sampling, 40
sale for resale, 42	Doing Business
Amusement tax	taxability, 6
coin operated machines and non-coin	Electricity
operated games, 35	insurer exemption, 42
Fitness & aerobic training services, 57	processing, 28, 59, 61, 62
Banks	use in hotels, 69
conversion from state to national banks, 2	ERISA
Business loss carryforward	post-retirement benefits, 4
merger, 9, 10, 12	Estate Credits
officer and director compensation, 1	claim value of pending lawsuit, 99
trial of companion case, 13	Export of goods
Cable services	customs broker license, 47
municipal franchise fees, 51	Financing Lease
Catalogs	sample audit, 19
nexus, 58	Food Products
nexus, taxable use, 38	mall vendor, 41
use taxprinted out of state, 49, 57	Franchise fees, municipal
Cigarette Tax Trust Fund	cable services, 51
security, 96	Games
Coin operated machines and non-coin operated	amusement tax v. sales tax, 35
games	Gas and electricity purchases
amusement tax v. sales tax, 35	residential use, 68
Commercial Personal Property	Gross Premiums
valuation methods, 96	internal rollover, 71, 73, 82
Construction contract	paid-up additions, 80
lump sum or separated contract, 23, 30, 59	renewal premiums, 80
Conveyor belts	workers compensation, 83, 84
manufacturing exemption, 21	Gross receipts
Country Club fees	apportionment of satellite service receipts
sales tax, 44	17
County Court Fees	intercompany transactions, 1, 17
punishment, 89	interstate telephone charges, 3, 7
Customs Broker License	nexus, 17
export of goods, 47	out-of-state sales, 15
Data processing, 46	reimbursement for services, 11
intercompany transactions, 39	Sale of stock in non-unitary business, 9
sale for resale, 56	throwback rule, 3
Debt	Gross Taxable Sales
deduction from surplus, 15	Inadequate Records, 19
intercompany transactions, 5, 17	Independent contractors
post-retirement benefits, 4, 6, 11, 15	maid service, 22
wage reserve accounts, 11	Installment Sales
Debt collection services, 46	bad debt credit, 95

Insurance services, 46	Nexus
market value estimate, 86	accounts receivable, 54
out-of-state lab tests, 43	catalogs printed out of state, 38, 53, 58
Insurer Exemption	delivering goods, 43
limitations, 67	delivery and installation of goods, 45
Internal rollover	licensed software, 24
gross premiums, 71, 78	McCarran-Ferguson Act, 74
insurance gross premiums tax, 72, 73, 78, 80	promotional materials, 22, 29, 33, 34
Intraplant transportation	shipping from out of state, 47
manufacturing exemption, 64	Occasional sales, 44
Inventory samples	Officer and director compensation
sale for resale, 42	add-back to surplus, 7, 12, 13
Janitorial services	Oil well services, 52
new construction, 50	Open Courts
Joint venture	prepayment of tax, 40, 54
Sales tax credits, 11, 14	Operating lease obligations
Lien	debt, 4
mistaken identity, 94	Out-of-State Sales
personal property, 91	sale from mobile location, 50
Local Sales Tax	Packaging
MTA, 52	manufacturing exemption, 41, 42
Lump Sum Motor Vehicle Repairs	sale for resale, 37
Software Services, 20	shipment out-of-state, 34
Maid services	•
	Parking lot
real property services, 22	repairs, 44
Maintenance	Penalty
aircraft owned by certificated carrier	waiver, 93
(pipeline), 33, 63	Pipe
utility poles, 28	manufacturing exemption, 64 Post-retirement benefits
Maintenance charges	
manufacturing facility, 24	debt, 4, 6
Manufacturing exemption, 53	ERISA, 4
conveyor belts, 21, 31	taxability, 6
intraplant transportation, 64	Predominant use
packaging, 41, 42	electricity, 39
pipe, 64	Premiums
Manufacturing facility	home warranty insurance, 87
management and operation, 24	Prepayment of tax
Market Value of Oil	Open Courts, 40, 54
processing and marketing costs, 95	Printing
Mixed drinks	out-of-state printer, 67
complimentary, sales tax, 44	Prizes
Motor Vehicle Property	amusement tax v. sales tax, 35
nexus, 55	cost of taxable, 51, 69
Motor Vehicle Seller	Producer's Gross Receipts
bad debt collection, 91	Order 94 payments, 91
liability for tax, 98	Promotional materials
New construction	nexus, 22, 29, 33, 34
drilling rigs, 62	ownership of, 22, 30
janitorial services, 50	Proof
lump sum or separated contract, 30	burden in administrative hearing, 39
original defects, 35, 39	Property Appraisal
real property repair and remodeling, 51	valuation methods, 92
tax credits, 44	Public Law 86-272

taxability, 6	retroactive application, 23
Public telephone service	Surplus Lines Insurer
transfer of care, custody, and control of	unauthorized insurance tax, 72, 74, 76, 79, 82
equipment, 59	Tax Foreclosure
Push-down accounting, 8	State University, 99
depreciation, 13	Taxable Value
Real Property Repair and Remodeling, 48	presumption, 90
finish-out work, 66	Telecommunication Services
maintenance, new construction, 37	determination of tax base, 56
new construction, 40, 51	networking services, 60
new construction, pollution control, 66	private line services, 21
vs. maintenance, 28	satellite broadcasting, 26, 27
Real property service	Telecommunications equipment
landscaping, waste removal, 25, 36, 51	sale for resale, 67
maid service, 22	transfer of care, custody, and control of
taxable price, 36	equipment, 49
Remodeling	Texas investments, 73
aircraft owned by certificated carrier	bank balances, 81
(pipeline), 33, 63	Bond & Cash Investments, 83
ships, 58	cash fund investments, 85
Rental of equipment	debt, 83
inclusion of related services in taxable price,	Limited Partnership Holdings, 85
31	Partnership, 83
Repair	Third Party Administration
parking lot, 44	ERISA, 80
Residential Property	Throwback rule, 8
sampling method, 89, 90, 92, 93, 94, 97, 99	Trailers
Retaliatory Basis, 79	fixture, 26
similar insurance company, 75, 76, 77, 78, 81,	Vacant Property and Rural Acreage
84	sampling method, 100
Retroactivity of tax	Waste removal
earned surplus, 8, 14	sale for resale, 68
Rolling Stock	Write-off
cranes and repair parts, 25	investment in subsidiaries, 16
Rule making	
authority of Comptroller, 46	
Sale for resale	
airplane, 42	
blanket resale certificates, 32	
cable equipment, 63	
collection of tax, 28	
detrimental reliance, 27	
federal contractor, 46, 55, 64, 65	
telecommunications equipment, 67	
Sample audits	
compliance with procedures, 35, 36	
fraud, 97	
Sampling technique	
validity, 36, 38, 57	
School Finance	
maintenance and operations rate, 100	
Statute of limitations	
tax paid to vendors, 37	
Successor liability, 49	